SOUTHEAST SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

PUBLIC MEETING February 10, 1995 Alaska Native Brotherhood Hall

Hoonah, Alaska

VOLUME III

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

William C. Thomas, Sr., Chair
Herman Kitka, Sr.
10John P. Feller
11Richard Dalton, Sr.
12Patricia A. Phillips
13Mim Robinson
14Lonnie Anderson
15Marilyn R. Wilson
16
17Carol Jorgensen, Coordinator
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Some of the council members, when we finished the proposal portion of the agenda, needed to leave and so they're gone. We've got one member checking out the kitchen to see if there's any leftovers from last night, and he's our scavenger for the day.

And yesterday we got to the portion of item C., under New Business that is titled: Any other new business. My notes suggested that we had resolutions and implementation language 10to discuss. We finished the language part of it, and we did 11satisfy the resolutions that were brought to my attention by 12that time. And we do have some people that have been here, 13waiting for time to use this forum for matters of information, 14and there's been so many people going through and so many areas 15covered, I am going to just make the microphone available to 16first come, first served. If there's not more than one, then 17so be it, but if there's anybody ready to present or to address 18the council, now is the time to do it.

20

Sir, tell us who you are and where you are from.

2

MR. EDWARDS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is 24Larry Edwards, I'm from Sitka, and I'm a forest campaigner for 25GreenPeace, and I've come to present information to the 26council, just to further your understanding of the forest in 27Southeast Alaska. The information I've brought is visual. 28It's these two maps over on the wall, and if I could have the 29pleasure of the committee, perhaps we can all just gather 30around the maps and I could give you a quick, maybe 10- or 3115-minute overview of the big picture of the Tongass National 32Forest and some of the things that could possibly happen to it 33in the decades ahead.

34

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Why don't you take a mike with 6you and those that want to gather around, go ahead and do so. 7I choose to wait here, and if you would just identify wherever 8your pointer is at, I'll know where you're at.

39

MR. EDWARDS: Okay. I think actually to get an lunderstanding of what I'm showing you'll need to see some of 2the detailed map on the wall.

43 44

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

45

MR. EDWARDS: If you prefer, I could do this during the 7break, if that would be less disruptive.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To me, that's not really relevant.

But if anybody would like to gather -- oh, go ahead, those of

50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

you that want to gather, go ahead, help yourself and be supportive and cooperative. Don't be like the Chairman.

MR. EDWARDS: You can step in close. We'll need to speak into the mike since this is for the record, I quess.

I have two maps here. These are prepared by the US
Forest Service. These are what we call GIS maps. It's from
their Geographical Information System in which they have many
different kinds of data or numerical data that are related to a
point on a map, and they can take these and -- they probably
have hundreds of different layers that show different things,
losome of which are subsistence. This is not subsistence data;
lthis is data on the condition of the forest. But they can make
levery detailed maps like this very easily.

As you all know, this is a very large area. It's about 1516.9 million acres, 300 miles by probably 100 miles wide. And 16since the bulk of it is called the Tongass National Forest, we 17often had to think of it as a forest, when in fact it really -- 18a lot of it isn't what you'd think of as forest, it's 19productive in terms of wildlife habitat or timber.

The ivory areas on the map are about two-thirds of the 22land area, and what that represents is a combination of areas 3that are non-forested, such as along the border with Canada 24where you have 12,000' peaks, there's a lot of rock and ice and 25glaciers. When you get out into like Kupreanof Island here, 26you get into a lot of muskeg areas, and the muskeg, of course, 27is non-forest, but it also includes productive forest, which is 28timber that's less than 8,000 board feet to the acre.

The two shades of green is productive forest, and that algoes -- the light green goes from 8,000 up to 30,000 board feet 2 to the acre. And the dark green, you'll see some patches of it 3 here at Kuiu and down in Prince of Wales and up in Admiralty 4 and a few others in other spots. The dark green is 30,000 5 board feet to the acre and higher. In some cases over 100,000 6 board feet to the acre.

So, all told, all the ivory on this map is two-thirds 30 of that 17 million acres, and you can see there's a fair bit of 0the light green. You start looking for the dark green, which 1 is the really productive timber, both in terms of value and 2 timber that's logged, and also for the wildlife habitat, you 3 see there's not a whole lot of it. The bulk of it is down on 4 Prince of Wales Island.

You see down here there's also a lot of black, and 6that's the areas that have been so far clear cut, or if they've 7been clear cut a while ago, it's the second growth now. And 8for the most part, that came out of the dark green. There's 9been very little of the light green logged ever on the Tongass.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

This is the high grading that you hear people talking about212

And, of course, there's also Native land, other private lands, and State and municipal, these are shown in grey. You can see around Hoonah the areas that are owned by Hoonah, Totem and Sealaska, City of Juneau, areas on Douglas Island that are also in private ownership. City of Sitka, Kake Tribal, Petersburg, and then there's a lot of Native land selections down in Prince of Wales Island, and you've got the Metlakatla Indian Reservation and Ketchikan as well. And, particularly on Prince of Wales, there's been a lot of logging on these private lands. You've got to imagine that a lot of that also is black loor ivory.

11

When you fly over it or go by the ferry on parts of 14Southeast Alaska you see a lot of areas that -- or ivory in 15this map that look to you like they're forest. For example, if 16you go by the east side of Baranof Island it looks like it's 17pretty heavily forested. You see on this map though that it's 18all shown in ivory except for a real thin fringe along the 19beach, and that's because what you're looking at is a 20productive forest; it's 8,000 board feet to the acre or less. 21And then, of course, down the spine of this island you've got 22alps from three to 5,000 feet, so you've got rock and ice and 23glaciers up there.

24 25

In talking about the subsistence situation around my 28community, particularly, you see where the forest is mostly 19around the northern fringe of the island, and you can go up to 30Kadashan, here at Chichagof, you can see how there's a line of 31dark green down the valley bottom. You start looking for dark \$2green around here, you don't see very much. Lisianski is this ∄3area, further Hoonah Sound, Lake Eva, but you can see what 4happened, you see the dark green here at Kadashan. You go over ∄5the divide into the River -- into Sitkoh Bay, you can see how 36Alaska Pulp came through there and took out all the good timber 37from the bottom. You can go along to the various bays, Rodman, 38Fish Bay and Nakwasina and Katlian, you can see what's happened 39with the industrial scale logging, how it took the best out of 40the valley bottoms. This is probably the most clear place to 41see it, just because of the terrain, but the same thing has 42happened down here.

43 44

You go to the next map, which is at the end of the 7cutting rotation, it says the year 2140 up here, but in fact 48all of the black would be on this map by about the year 2060, 49and this is based on cutting under the current planning

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

direction on the Tongass, 420 million board feet a year. Th2\$3 was out of the TLMP SDIS that came out in 1991. The Forest Service currently is planning on cutting probably 320 to 350 million board feet a year, so probably from here on out about three-quarters of the level of cutting this represents. However, the Congressional delegation, Don Young, Frank Murkowski, Ted Stevens, they're still pushing for 420, and so is Alaska Forest Association and the Alaska Lumbermen's Association. So there's still a lot of political pressure to make the scenario happen.

9

You start looking on this for any more green and it's 12getting pretty hard to find. You can see South Prince of Wales 1/3wilderness, there's some. You get over into Misty Fjords 14National Monument, there's some dark green up in the Unik River 15(ph), you find not very much else, and not very much light 16green because you're in that coastal habitat area where the 17climate's affected by the big mountains and the ice and snow 18that's up there. You go on north, the outer islands, ${
m 1}\hspace{-0.9mm}{/}9$ Coronation and Warren, those are either LUD II or wilderness. 20There's a wilderness in Tebenkof, South Etolin, Naha, Anan \$1Creek. Basically, most of the green you're seeing on the map 22where there's light or dark, it's left over, is either very 33poor habitat or it's protected areas. And the timber industry 44likes to say that out of the 17 million acres there's so much \$25 In the Tongass, and they like to imply that it's all 46forest, but when you start looking at it, really, it's not. 27There's a little over 6 million acres of it. You've got 48Stikine-LeConte on the mainland, you've got Tracy Fjords, 19Terror, you've got South Baranof, West Chichagof, the LUD II 30areas up on the north end of Chichagof Island; all those areas 3lin terms of habitat, not the best stuff.

And you start looking at what happens to subsistence foround Sitka at this point and you can see that we're going to 7be devastated if this scenario happens, and it's going to be 8true for many other communities. I know in Kake, for example, 9the people in Kake are very concerned about what was proposed 0in the last plan for APC on North Kuiu, and you can see what 1Kuiu is like, it's -- there's some pretty good habitat there 2and it's been hit pretty hard already, but you get to what 3would happen if we keep cutting 420, and you can see that 4that's obliterated. And what's left is basically in Rocky Pass 5and over here in Tebenkof, but that's pretty far away from the 6folks in Kake and Kupreanof Island. You know, there's a lot of 7muskeg there and you take all the good stuff out, there's 8nothing left to keep us going. The best good block of habitat 9left is on Admiralty, but that's really about the only one

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

that's there.

So this is something that really concerns me, it's something I'm working on in my campaign with GreenPeace as a forest campaigner, and I hope the committee will take it to heart when TLMP starts moving along in the coming months. I think that what happens in the next decade or two here is really going to set the course. There are some really key areas that we need to protect for subsistence and for habitat in general. So, thank you very much for the time.

MS. WILSON: I have a question.

MR. EDWARDS: Oh, yes. I'm happy to answer questions.

MS. WILSON: Is there an alternative -- you say if we 15log or logging is allowed in these areas of deep forestation, 16well, where could they pick -- what other areas can they pick 17to log where it won't hurt our subsistence?

19 20

12

13

MR. EDWARDS: Well, I think that what's happened is 2that over the past four decades since industrial scale logging 3started here in 1954 that we've logged the best and then the 24best of the rest, and now we're getting down to the best of the 25rest of the rest. And in my campaign with GreenPeace I'm 26looking at trying to protect the economies of our communities, 27both in terms of subsistence as well as in terms of logging, 28and I think the only answer, really, is to sustain timber jobs 29on less timber. What we need to do is try to recover the 30maximum value from the resources that we're taking from the 31forest in our communities, and instead of exporting the raw 32materials, change the industry into one that logs less but then 33provides jobs by making finished products out of what's being 34produced here.

35 36

For example, one person I've been working with on 8Prince of Wales Island goes out and he finds blow down. He's 9looking for big trees, basically, and he even sells 0 (indiscernible) stringers that he bids on from the Forest 1Service. And he cuts these into blocks that he can pack on a 2pack frame and pack out of the woods on his back. He has a 3special band saw so he can cut quarter sawn plates out of it 4for guitar tops, and he sells those to Gibson and Martin 5guitars. And he's making really good money on that, far better 6than the traditional industry is. But we could even go a step 7beyond that if we could get people trained here to make guitars 8or mandolins or other kinds of high value wood products. From 9what he tells me, the end products that are being made

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

elsewhere from what he produces are actually worth \$25,000 a215 1,000 board feet.

So, there's a great potential there. It's going to take some transition, but I think we need to start on that right away.

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, you were talking about 420. Could you refresh my memory on that?

MR. EDWARDS: Yeah. It's 420 million board feet of 10cutting per year, and that's been generally the target for the #1Forest Service. Very often they don't quite meet that level, 12but they've come quite close to it, for the most part. And $1 \ 3$ that's what they refer to as the ASQ, the Annual Sale Quantity. 14 And in terms of what the ASO should be, I think it's really an \$\$50pen question. I don't think the Forest Service has really 16paid enough attention to how they should be determining that 17and how they should be determining it with some precautionary 18principle applied. We're gambling with our future by doing ${
m 1}\!\!/9$ this, and basically the Forest Service is saying, well, we 10figure we can take this much timber so we're going to take it, #land I think we need to look and say, well, if we think we can \$2take X amount of timber off of the Tongass, we should take 23maybe just -- you know, for a hypothetical number, say half of 4that, just to allow ourselves a factor of safety to make sure 15that we're not foreclosing our future, because there are a lot 46of things we still don't know about this forest.

MS. ROBINSON: Just to continue with that, could you -- 8yes, with large numbers like that it's really hard to imagine 9what that is. Could you maybe show on the map what 420 million 30board feet would be, the kind of area that would cover in one 31spot there?

MR. EDWARDS: Well, since most of the logging has come 40ff of land areas that have 30,000 board feet per acre or more, 5you'd be looking at 43 divided by 3, you're looking at upwards 6to 18,000 board feet -- or 18,000 acres per year. To put it 7all in one place, I'm just trying to think of a place where I 8know there's about that much area.

MR. FELLER: (Indiscernible - away from microphone)

41 MR. EDWARDS: Do you want to point to that? I know 42where it is, but do you want to outline -- you're talking about 43the grey area here?

40

45

50

MR. FELLER: (Indiscernible - away from microphone)

MR. EDWARD: So, you're looking at about 5,000 less

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

than that spread all around. Another thing that's happening216 too, is the Forest Service is vastly over-estimating how much timber is actually available and loggable. We've seen this particularly in the South Tongass, down in Prince of Wales, with the Central Prince of Wales Plan which was decided on recently, about a year ago, and you might have seen it here in the paper over the last few weeks that the Forest Service has decided to go back and do a supplement on that plan now. But the fall down, as they call it, which is the amount of timber they can actually get in comparison to what they thought they could get from the planning areas, 50 percent. And this is because they haven't accounted for steep slopes, wetlands, 10unstable soils. And when they actually get down to the nuts ${\sharp}$ land bolts of doing the plan, they find out that the timber 12isn't there that they thought there was, yet they haven't gone $1\!\!1$ 3back and taken the information they've gotten, for example, 14from Sea Plow (ph) and plugged that back into the whole forest \$\$\frac{1}{5}\$\$plan. So, they still figure they've got a lot more timber to ${1\!\!1}$ 6log on the whole Tongass than they do.

18 So, we're going to go into a timber draught, if you 19look at it from the industry perspective, as well as a great 20shortage of habitat. This is the kind of direction planning 21moves along.

MS. WILSON: You say the Forest Service gets their 4information from Sea Plow -- I mean their survey?

MR. EDWARDS: Where does this information come from, 8you mean? This is kind of a summary of all the data that I've 9collected over the years, and actually there are some problems 0with the data on these maps. For example, if you were to go to 1this place and you see there's some dark green and you wanted 2to go and find the forest and see what it looked like, you may 3not find that dark green there, it may be somewhere else. In 34fact, if you look at this map on a very small scale, it's only 5about 50 percent accurate. If you consider it averaged over 6the whole Tongass, it's apparently quite accurate, but the 37placement of some of these different areas of different colors 8is imprecise, and it's not till you get down to the actual 9project planning level where it becomes known exactly where the 0really good timber is and where it isn't.

MR. NEWHOUSE: To answer some of your questions here, 5 and Larry's correct, on the Sea Plow, one of the things that we 6 have found, there's been national studies done, other groups 7 have looked and there have been a substantial amount of less 8 timber than what we said is there, and on the Sea Plow timber 9 sale, we're in the process, we're going to be doing

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

42 43

supplemental EIS on that. But another thing that we've been217 doing, and it's going to figure in to the finishing of TLMP revision, is that we've been looking and we've done a fairly intensive study. We've had a contractor that's involved with the control lake timber sale EIS, which is ongoing down in this area, right here, of Prince of Wales Island and out in here in this area right here, up through the Honker Divide country, and they're evaluating what is actually on the ground. And then they're going to take that data and feed that into the rest of TLMP revision, and that will probably cause for some adjustment. We don't know yet. The contractor's stuff is actually slightly overdue. We're going to be able to get these lofigures back very shortly. We should have had them already. I think that data is going to cause for a modification what we lahve been projecting in the past.

13

What has happened here, as all of you know, in resource 15 areas whether it's fishing or what-have-you, because of the 6 difficulty to collect information on the ground out here, we've 17 done point sampling, and so, you know, there may be on -- we 18 took points all over the Tongass and then we went in places on 19 the ground and did an inventory of what was there at that 20 point, and then we expanded that information out. And that's 21 led to some of the inaccuracies that we've had. But we've 22 spent a lot of money in the last few years gathering a lot more 33 data, trying to get a better picture of what's on the ground. 24 And out of that, hopefully, with better data, we'll make better 25 decisions with regards to what we can do and can't do.

46 27

Larry is correct, that we're finding soils that are not 9 conducive for having timber harvesting activities on them, 30 we're finding a lot more cliffs as we go way out roads. 31 Because we did a lot of this work from aerial photo 2 interpretation originally, we just weren't able to get out on 3 the ground with the frequency that we needed to. But with this 4 additional sampling and stuff like that, we're making the 5 adjustment. And I think with the ecosystems management this is 6 in the revision of the plan. With the scientific approach 3 7 that's being done that was similar to what has been done with 3 8 the Pacific Northwest, with the Idaho -- or let's see, excuse 3 9 me, the Washington, Oregon and Northern California, and the 4 0 spotted owl thing, we're actually taking that same type of 1 approach with the revision, a plan here. And hopefully we'll 2 see something different.

43 44

Though there is going to be a lot of pressure from 6industry, and we do have a contractual commitment to meet with 7KPC. And normally the KPC, to me, our contractual commitments 8to KPC was just Prince of Wales Island and Revilla (ph) Island 9in the Cleveland Peninsula area. That was where we took all

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

the timber to meet the KPC contract. Right now, to meet our218 contractual commitments to Ketchikan Pulp Company, we're looking at the whole Tongass to continue to provide the amount of wood that we need to provide to them contractually, which is slightly less than 200 million board feet.

MS. ROBINSON: I had a question. I was looking up here, comparing the Yakutat area -- like over on this one there's a lot of the dark and the lighter green, and then you look at this map over here and it's greatly reduced but there's none of the second growth coming up. Why is that?

MR. EDWARDS: I don't know. I've wondered about that, 11too. I asked someone in the Forest Service at Sitka about that 12and they thought it might be due to just natural changes in the 13area with uplift, glacial rebound, things like that. I don't 14know, it's something I need to find out. I'm kind of curious 15about that as well.

Hank was mentioning, you know, that they've gone to 18particular places and taken data and basically tried to confirm 19things on this map, and where the rest of the forest types were 20plotted from aerial photos, and that's where the inaccuracy 21comes in. Things will look very different sometimes from the 22air than they actually are on the ground.

And as I was saying -- as Hank was saying, things will abbe changing in TLMP, it looks like maybe we're heading in the 7direction of having three-quarters or 80 percent of this black 28that's on the map. So, you can maybe kind of visually eyeball 29what that might look like. The question is, how does that come 30down to life in our communities, subsistence, things like that, 31do we know enough to take that much timber, and also can the 32Forest Service prevail and move ahead with the program it wants 33or will the industry and the Congressional delegation prevail 34with their big push for 420 -- cutting 420 million board feet 35per year or more.

MS. ROBINSON: With that contract, if -- I mean, that swas made a while ago, so I mean is there any way to reduce it seven more or to break the contract or -- you know, how is that 40-- it seems like that's been discussed, hasn't it?

MR. NEWHOUSE: Ma'am, if I understand the way the 5contract situation is, in the revision of the Forest Plan if 6they can clearly show that we're going to go through that 7analysis, that there's not enough wood available to meet the 8commitments to that contract, I think there's a stipulation 9that we can break the contract at that point in time. But

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

42 43

that's going to be a difficult thing to do. And I don't know19 -- you know, I wouldn't even hazard a guess where that's going to go. Right now the contract is scheduled to go into 2004, we've got about nine years left to the contract. To meet our commitments to KPC, the work that we're going to have to do will have to be finished with our NEPA clearance of, you know, being able to do all our environmental assessments and that. We're going to have to be finished by 1998 in the sense of NEPA clearing, the amount of wood that's to be harvested. Because they have to be finished and out of the woods by 2004 when their contract ends. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is it safe to assume that when you $1\!\!1$ lguys come back up here you'll be through with the presentation, 12questions and everything? 14 MR. EDWARDS: Pardon me? 15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is it safe to assume that when you 1/7 folks come back up here that the questions will all have been 18asked and answered? 20 MR. EDWARDS: I think so. Are you asking all the 21questions now? I would also point out CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm not suggesting that you stop, but 44if -- what I'm saying, when you get back here we'll go on to 25other business. MR. EDWARDS: I think that's the intent, yes. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So you could finish there? MR. EDWARDS: Yes. I would just say, in answer to what 31Hank said, there is a clause in the KPC contract that allows 32the contract to be terminated if there's excessive 33environmental damage. So that could be triggered at any time. MS. PHILLIPS: Larry, I want to thank you for bringing ∄6the Forest Service maps to our attention and interpreting them 37for us. MR. EDWARDS: Thank you for the opportunity. 40pleased to do it. MR. FELLER: Who is going to determine if there is an 43environmental -- something devastating to the area? MR. EDWARDS: In terms of the contract clause, you 46mean? 48 MR. FELLER: Yes. 49 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MR. EDWARDS: That would be up to anyone who is 220 concerned, basically. It would require legal action, I would think, to trigger that clause. The Forest Service could do it on its own, if it wished to, but it hasn't taken that option, and it doesn't appear that it will. But citizens or other organizations could do that.

MS. ROBINSON: I'm just curious if there are any small maps available of these two?

MR. EDWARDS: Yes. These maps are available from the 10TLMP Planning Team, and later on when I take them down -- I'm 11going to leave them up for a while so people can look at them, 12but there's a file number here, and you can call John Day at 13the Planning Team, he's their GIS technician. The phone number 14is 586-8700, if you want to give him a phone call, and these 15are available on 11" by 17" format as well.

Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

18 19 20

49

50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much, Larry, for your 22presentation. Just as an encouragement from the Chair, what 23we're dealing in is a very complex -- anything that's complex 4and understood at different levels has a tendency to be \$\frac{1}{2}\$5controversial. When something is controversial it becomes 46combative. When it becomes combative then it's nonproductive. 27 So, I think this is an improvement on the use of this forum, 28to address land issues that we're all concerned with. Land use 19has many, many uses, and land has its values to each of us. 30While we all embrace all of the values of the land, we always \$1have a tendency to identify ourselves as part of it rather than 2identifying ourselves with all of it. And in some cases it's 33difficult to identify with all of it. We'll have several pet 34names for something and then we'll call the rest "all others as \$5prescribed." So, thank you very much for your presentation and 36your exchange with the council.

Is there anybody else that hasn't been on the agenda 9that has anything that they would like to bring to this 0council, you want to use us for a sounding board? Everybody 1except Wanda. Please come forward, Wanda.

MS. CULP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's good to see 4everybody here. Wednesday, I was in Juneau

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Your name, for the record? You know 7the rules.

MS. CULP: Sorry. It's my job to break them. But

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

Wednesday I was in Juneau, along with our clan matriarch, 221 Amy Marvin. She will address the -- there was a workshop going on regarding ecosystem partnership. This one specifically addressed Glacier Bay. And it was -- what do you call it, where everyone gets together and hashes around ideas

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Brainstorming.

MS. CULP: a brainstorming session in which they were addressing all kinds of issues, I guess, Federal agencies, and it will eventually include scientists and the Tlingit people and, I think, the conservation groups. What the concept lois is to begin dealing with the issues and concerns regarding ligiacier Bay, and begin dealing with the ecosystem type of light ligh

14

And since we're talking about the Tongass, I think it's 16time -- over time that we begin thinking partnership with the 17ecosystem now with the Tongass and traditional usage area for 18the Hoonah people has been pretty much abused by the loggers, 19whether they be private, Native or Federal. And we need to 20begin looking at the traditional usage areas, area by area, to 21see how badly damaged they are so that we can begin fixing this 22and put some assurances for the future that this type of thing 23won't happen again, because the thinking we have is standing 24trees are more important to us economically for the customary 25and traditional users than in the future than it is right now 26to the corporations and the Forest Service to cut it down, and 27this is how we're thinking and this is what we need to start 28protecting. Thank you.

30 31

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Wanda. I'm really happy \$3to hear that now. In the last couple of days I've had a chance 34to share with Richard Dalton and some of the people that are 5from Hoonah that live in Juneau. When I travel on an airplane 36and I'm not sleeping, I have a lot of time to get in trouble 37with my mind wandering around, and every time I fly over 38Glacier Bay my mind gets sad. I'm thinking, you know, the 39Glacier Bay people have been trying to conquer Congress for a 40long time. It's kind of like holding the low tide out of the 41Indian Islands; it's not going to happen. It can happen if you 2put a big enough barricade there, then you can do that. I've 43suggested to people that we need to work cooperatively. 44didn't know about Glacier Bay, other people that are actively 45involved in Indian politics know about Glacier Bay, but the 46average person working in the smokehouse or picking berries 47doesn't know about Glacier Bay. We need to get these people 48involved. We've got people that are at this forum. Everybody 9can be contributing to accomplishing things. It's going to

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

take dialogue, it's going to take an exchange, we're going t022 have to convey a level of trust.

Of all the great things you've heard about the Indian people trust was not one of the great things that we're known for. Within ourselves we are, but to the rest of the world, don't trust 'em. And that's probably the biggest obstacle we have, and it's not anything that we've done to not be trusted, it's people that have a tendency to reflect on their own attitudes and lifestyles, and we're victims of that. And I'm not saying that I'm free from doing that myself. I do that at 10times. I've pulled crabs back into the bucket. But we're 11going to have to empty that bucket and leave it empty of the 12crabs.

13

14 But I endorse every word you said this morning. Carol 15would like to share something.

6

17 MS. JORGENSEN: Well, what I said last night was I hope 18that the elders were at the -- that were there get to see the 19day that they're back in their homeland and singing their song 20to Costeen (ph) that they're home in their own area.

21

I wonder, though, and I don't know Larry -- I mean 44Clarence, if you could help me on this thinking, but I was down 15in California in the summer at Cal-Davis, and we went down to 46the headlands in Marin County, and there was all this National 27Park Service land that the National Park Service had turned 28over to all of these artists. I don't mean in ownership, but 19it was, you know, beginning, these artists were managing the 30beaches in that area of the headlands. And I looked at that land I thought, why -- you know, with the Hoonah people why \$2can't that -- something like that be done since it started to ∄3set a precedent that they turned it over to all these artists 34that manage and this tourism and all of these things going on. 5 You may not have any answers to that, but it was curious to me 36that the Park Service had made this long-term contract with the 7artists down in this beautiful beach -- prestigious area, and 8they took care and maintained all of that.

39

Now, that was Pomo grounds. We had a Pomo Indian come land talk to us about this, this was all Pomo grounds area, and 2they were hoping to have a park in this, but why couldn't 3something like that be started up here, be looked at? Because 4I could see where the Hoonah Tlingit could really -- I mean, 5there's tourism, there's other kinds of things, but why 6couldn't they be managing that area under a -- you know, at 7least as a start in their own homeland.

48 49

Do you know anything about that?

50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MR. SUMMERS: Clarence Summers, National Park Service. Gosh, I'm not familiar with that situation. I do know that there is a provision to -- at least new direction from our Washington office to pursue cooperative agreements and to be more sensitive to the local people that are adjacent to Park Service areas. To answer your question, I'm not familiar with that situation in California.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Clarence, I'm glad you're there. Management plans for Glacier Bay, is there one?

MR. SUMMERS: Yes, there's a General Management Plan. 10I think it was approved in the mid-'80s.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Does it include philosophies, 13missions, that type of thing?

15 MR. SUMMERS: There is a general mission statement, 16there's a proclamation.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that accessible?

MR. SUMMERS: The General Management Plan?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah.

18

30

MR. SUMMERS: Yes. I don't have a copy with me today, 25but I can provide the regional council with a copy of the 26approved, current General Management Plan. I was going to add 27that there's also additional direction for management in 28ANILCA, I think in Title II, the new additions to the park.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Wanda had her hand up.

MS. CULP: We've got a copy of the General Management 3Plan that you can look at. It's very generic and very general. It does not even begin to properly address the cultural 5aspects of the park.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, that's what I was interested 7in. I wanted to see what the plan implied. I wanted to see 8what was so secret about the stewardship remaining where it's 9at. I want to see what in there is considered an improvement 0over letting the rightful owners manage the area, that's what I want to see. Mim.

42 MS. ROBINSON: Yes. I'm curious, how often is the plan 43revised or looked at or whatever, renewed?

5 MR. SUMMERS: As a general rule, I believe, every 10 6years. That's just a general rule.

MS. ROBINSON: So was it revised in 1990, maybe, or 9when -- do you know when the last time was?

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

MR. SUMMERS: Well, there's no -- I'm not that familiar, but I can find out what the schedule is, but like I said, it was approved in the mid-'80s and I'm sure that if you had input into management, you know, it's an open door policy, as far as making recommendations. It's also opportunities for different services. There's the guidance from Title XIII, direction to consider Federal managers to consider (indiscernible - away from microphone) rural residents as a source for services.

MS. WILSON: I can't hear you.

MR. SUMMERS: I'll sit closer.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You're competing with a wind tunnel 13back there, but -- okay, do you have some more comments? 14 MS. CULP: Yes.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Come on up and share the mike with 17Clarence. This is a peaceful meeting now. I don't want you 18guys to spoil it.

MR. SUMMERS: My sister's name is Wanda.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I wonder why.

20 21

26 27 28

29

48

50

MS. CULP: The Hoonah Indian Association in the 25past

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Speak into the mike, please.

MS. CULP: The elders traditional council, under the 31leadership of Richard Shakely and now the Hoonah Indian \$2Association, are making plans to manage the park, Glacier Bay, 33under the co-management concept. In addition, there's an AGFA 34committee under the tribe and Mary Rudolph is the chairperson, sand we're putting together a preservation and protection plan, 36cultural, and this -- when we compared the Glacier Bay General 37Management Plan of 1984 to another general management plan we 38had gotten from the Southwest Region United States, we found 39vast differences in which that region addressed all the Federal 40mandates and laws that the Park Service has that is required 41when indigenous people are in their home. And we have taken 2the Glacier Bay to task and we are working very closely with 43Tim in the regional office, who is working very diligetly to 44get the Glacier Bay national Park Service into compliance, 45which also means working with us closely. There's a lot to be 46learned yet, a lot to be done yet.

We also have a new superintendent, Bill Brady, at the

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

-- and we have met him also. And at the meeting in Juneau h≥25 made it very clear that never again will the indigenous of Hoonah be eliminated from the park in all actions coming forward. So, we're real hopeful that it will develop into a new era of working with the park. And we want to see the general management plan updated because there are 18 Native allotments in the park that's called private lands. And the general management plan now has four different -- four or six different land uses; wilderness and non-wilderness lands, wilderness waters, non-wilderness waters.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, let me give you a pointer. If 10you're going to offer a management plan for Glacier Bay, send 11them a complete list of customary and traditional uses. A 12management plan has never been approved over there.

14

MS. CULP: Thank you. Just to make everyone aware that 16the tribal government of Hoonah is working towards managing not 17only the park resources but the -- all the resources within our 18traditional usage area, which includes Forest Service. I'm not 19talking cooperative agreement here, we're talking co-management 20plan in which we are an integral part of it. The policies will 21be made from the inside out, not the outside in. I think, 22compared to 10 years ago, this is a lot more possible now than 23it was then, so a lot of battles have already been hurdled. 24Now I think everyone is pretty much beat into shape as far as 25the Federal goes, and I think we're all poised and ready to 26begin working now. If we can get Congress to keep their nose 27out of things long enough so that one act or the existing acts 28of Congress that have

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did you say Congress or Tongass?

MS. CULP: Congress.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, okay.

MS. CULP: It's pretty close though.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It is.

29 30 31

MS. CULP: There is current acts of Congress and their 2resulting regulations that are in existence, that if they are 3honored properly in the Freedom of Religion Act, Marine Mammal 4Protection Act, these ones that affect us so closely, if they 5begin operating the way they're supposed to operate, we won't 6need any more new acts of Congress to begin fixing what's 7already there. And ANILCA is a real sore spot with us because 8under ANCSA it was supposed to balance out ANCSA; what ANCSA 9very well took away from us, it was supposed to be protected

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

under ANILCA in our customary and traditional usage, and now226 they tack words like "urban" and "rural," and I can never figure out what we are. By the time I figure out what Hoonah is we're going to be a city, and words like "subsistence" won't have a damn thing to do with us. You know, when you're talking about roadblocks

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Watch your language; there's men here.

MS. CULP: When we're talking of roadblocks, one simple word like "subsistence" is a roadblock not only for us as 10Native people but in our Native world because it's totally 11misunderstanding what it is. Customary and traditional usage 12is much bigger than subsistence, and this is what we're using. 13 If ANILCA wants to use the word "subsistence" we don't need to 14use that law in order to get what we need to do in the park or 15-- but ANILCA is supposed to work for us, I'd like to see it.

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, what I would do, if you are 18 considering about hands across Southeast at all, and I would 19 encourage that, but I think it's time for the tribes and grand 20 camp to embrace each other in this effort. I'm not so sure 21 that the corporations of any kind will have that much of an 22 impact. I think you've got to stay with your customs and 23 traditions in that approach and with that focus. And I might 24 encourage you to try to develop some enthusiasm around this 25 while you're at it.

MS. CULP: Thank you.

28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Clarence, do you have any 29comments in your defense?

MR. SUMMERS: Well, I'll tell you, I'll close by taking 2back everything that I've heard here, both -- well, last night 3in individual conversations since I've been in town for the 4last three days, and I'll take that back and report to 5Bob Barbey, our director. That's why I'm here, to listen. I 6appreciate your comments and I respect your viewpoints. I just 7want to make that clear.

I can say also that we had a meeting about maybe three Omonths ago in our Washington office with our Director Kennedy, land he's tuned in to this and trying to work with the people 2here in Alaska to accommodate the concerns of the local people. 3 Hopefully, Jim Brady, the new superintendent, will hopefully 4work and try to improve relations through contact with your 5community leaders and organizations.

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, that was very cordial. 8Thank you for that acknowledgement. Richard.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

50

MR. DALTON: Yes. Before we dismiss this, I keep referring back to some findings that there wasn't anybody that my collection has ever found that Alaska was sold to Russia or given in any treaty or anything. But here is the landsuit resolution passed at the Alaska Native Federal in the state convention. It was done November 26, 1929. There was two boats from Hoonah that went to this convention. One was James Grant, on the Alberta, and the other one was Washington on George Dalton's boat. And during that meeting I did not bring the minutes, but I have the minutes of that convention. And during that meeting it was made into a motion by Frank Sinclair, who is from Hoonah, and also William Shakely 10 from Hoonah. And that resolution passed in 1929. I'll read the resolution: Haines, Alaska, November 26, 121929. 13 Whereas, the United States Government has locked up the 15forest so that what was formally ours must now be purchased 16from the government that gave us nothing for it; Whereas, from year to year the condition of the Native **1**8Indians of Southeastern Alaska has been getting worse and worse ${1\hspace{-.1em}\downarrow} 9$ so that they now look towards the future almost without hope; 20and 21 Whereas, when the United States Government took over 23Alaska from our forefathers, it was a land of plenty, with 24rivers heaving (ph) with all kinds of salmon, the woods with 25fur, the game animals in the forests were free to us; and 26 Whereas, the United States Government has locked up the 28forests in what was formerly ours must now be purchased from a 19government that gave us nothing for it; and 30 Whereas, the fish in the streams have been taken from \$2us by the United States Government so that we can neither fish ∄3nor live near our ancient fish streams, not only because 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Speak into your mike, Richard. 36 MR. DALTON: not only because in changing -- I 38lost my place. I'll start over again. 40 Whereas, the fish in the streams have been taken from 42us by the United States Government so that we can neither fish 43nor live near our ancient fish streams, not only because in the 44changing civilization the same government has taught us to live 45like civilized people and not on a diet of fish like our 46forefathers, but also because our government without giving us 47a hearing has prohibited us from catching fish in our ancient 48fish streams for our support; and

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

50

Whereas, the government has made fishing regulations288 that only people who can catch fish with (indiscernible) are those who can afford to invest from 10 to \$25,000 in a huge fish trap; and

Whereas, all of this has reduced our people so our people average less than \$150 to a family of five, all of which endangers the health of our children; and

Whereas, all of this responsibility must be laid at the door of our own government;

Therefore be it resolved, that we petition in the name of the Alaska Native Brotherhood, that great organization of lour people, comprising over 5,000 Native Indians of loutheastern, to the Congress of the United States, for relief; loud

Be it further resolved, that Congress be asked to 15delegate a committee of fair-minded men to investigate our 16condition with money to get the evidence uninfluenced by 17different views which are directly responsible for our 18condition; and

Be it further resolved, that copies of this resolution like sent to each senator and representative of the Congress of 2the United States with the hope that some day one may be 3touched to ask justice for us.

Adopted by authority of the Grand Convention of the 26Alaska Native Brotherhood in their annual convention meeting in 27Haines, Alaska, November 26, 1929. William L. Paul, Grand 28President; Frank G. Johnson, Grand Secretary.

In (indiscernible) this landsuit there was no land 2mentioned that they were suing for. So it appears that they 3 just went ahead and took the land anyhow, regardless of whether 4 they gave us the privilege of coming to us to see if they could 5 take Glacier Bay. There was no such a thing. The proclamation 6 was never understood by the Tlingit nation in Hoonah because it 7 was never interpreted to them what the Park Service, or at that 8 time it was known as a monument, that they would say that we're 9 going to take over Glacier Bay. So, a signatory at this time 0 is going to be a very fluent evidence whereby Hoonah people 1 have signed Glacier Bay to the United States, and I don't think 2 I've found anything like that in the United Nations.

44 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What's the date on that resolution, 45Richard?

MR. DALTON: November 26, 1929.

30

46 47

48 49 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: In 1929, in regards to natural 229 resources in the world, they recognized distress in 1929. That resolution would do well in describing conditions now, although the magnitude of distress has really been exaggerated since 1929 to now. So -- but that was a good resolution. It gives you a good history of what the concerns of the indigenous people were, even back then, and then it took a lot of study and data collecting even back then to come up with the language to come up with a resolution like that together. So, it's been going on a while. But, again, thank you for your indulgence.

MR. DALTON: Thank you.

29 30

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll invite you to our next one. Is 12there anyone else that wishes to be heard that has not been 13heard? Is there anyone that felt so good about their first 14time, they want to come up again? Except for Ray. Name, rank 15and serial number, you know the rules.

17 MR. NIELSEN: Ray Nielsen, Sitka Tribe. I just have 18some additional information that I didn't provide in the first 19go-round that pertains to proposals.

I'd like to say that Sitka Sea Otter Commission is in 22the process of changing over to Sitka Sea Mammal to provide for 23seals, sea lions, and dolphins under its umbrella.

And just last week we had our first organizational 5meeting of the Herring Commission. That's to counter 26encroachment by the commercial fishers and protect the habitat 27and the availability of the stock.

And last month I went to the North Pacific Fisheries 2Management Council to testify on behalf of the subsistence user 33group. The Alaska Longline and Fishermen's Association paid my 34way up 'cause they understood that we don't know -- the charter 35 5 industry -- and I testified in front of the advisory panel, 36which is 20 members, which included one from Sitka and one 37Native girl who understood the subsistence lifestyle, and she Benlightened the panel members as to where I was coming from, 39and after that one I testified in front of the council, and 40there were 16 members and one from Sitka. So, if I hadn't been \$1there, allocations of quota would've been divied out and it 42would have been commercial fishermen and charter. Charter 43represents sport. I told that charter group, better not 44support it, subsistence is the other user group that I went to 45represent. So, it worked out quite well, and they took no 46action, they had to confer with their lawyers on both sides, 47and they decided that there was another user group, and the 48charter could not be there representing themselves under the 49guise of sport.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

So, the process did work. So, I know the Alaska Longline Fishermen were recognizing the fact that the subsistence user group is important to any community. And that's why we live here on the coast; we like the bountiful abundance of natural resources.

And I'd like to say that Sitka Tribe does enjoy the privilege of using the government to government relationship. The users are in exercise there with the Forest Service, and the tribe protects subsistence habitat and cultural sites. It was real hard for them to do that, but they understood that we 10meant business, and we did, and it does work. And we plan on #lusing that government to government relations with all Federal 12agencies.

And we are still working with other agencies and \$\$passing on our knowledge and documentation that we gather from 16archives, libraries, photos, videos, and oral testimony. And 17we have an intern at law school who worked for us last summer 18who will be coming back, and he put together a paper for his 19class -- I have one copy here of it, that's just a draft. So, 20he learned well working with the tribe doing legal research to 21promote him and the tribe and his paper.

23

And, finally, I'd like to say that Sitka Tribe worked 25with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game and the Germans, and 46they did a documentary on herring fishing last spring to cover 17the commercial aspects and the subsistence. It was done quite 28well, but it was in German, and we are -- we don't understand 19German. So, since then I've sent for a copy of the master tape Oand we're going to do it in Tlingit and English and make it 3lavailable to the public, as soon as we're done with that. 32Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Ray. We're still --5Frank, your name, rank, serial number?

MR. WRIGHT: My name is Frank Wright, I'm a fisherman, 8and have been a fisherman all my life. And one of the things 39I'd like to talk about is in order for a person to be going out 40in subsistence or even jigging halibut or anything like that, 4lthey have to -- their trolling vessel, it cannot be licensed by 2the State, and I think that I, as a fisherman, who try to make \$43a living out of it, I don't think I can afford to be buying 44another skiff just so I can go out and jig halibut and have 45subsistence in my skiff. And I think that that law needs to be 46changed in a way that if I want to go out and jig halibut or 47anything like that, I could go do it in my commercial skiff.

49 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

And it needs to be changed because when you're only 231 allowed two halibut in your vessel at a time, then you have to run in, get rid of it, go back out, get two more, go back in, go back out, and it's defeating the whole purpose of subsistence, 'cause I'm out there to subsist so that I could provide for my family and my mom and whoever needs a fish. So, subsistence, the word means to go out and get -- without having to spend more than what you already have. So, I think that law needs to be changed in a way where we can do whatever -- do it whenever we want to.

9 10

Another issue that I have a lot of concern is with the 12logging that is going on in this area here. You know, 13Sealaska, Hoonah Totem, they don't follow any forced practices, 14acts or anything, there's no one that even comes over to spank 15them or anything like that, they just go ahead and do it, they 16log right to the rivers. They log right to them and take 17everything. And it's detrimental to the deer habitat in this 18area. The biggest deer habitat we had has been logged off 19completely, and this year for the amount of snow that we've 20had, I'd say about five feet, and if you know of a deer -- or #lanybody, he's not going to be standing around in snow that is 22five feet. Deer have no place to go but the beach, and some of 23this buffer shift that is left behind, whatever buffer shift is 4left on the coastline by Sealaska concentrates the deer within \$25those little buffer shifts. So deer have no place left to go abut the beach. So hunters have a hay day.

28

One time I heard this one guy say, "Well, I got seven 30 yesterday, nine today." And, so, we're really being hit hard. I He also told me, he said, "This year is the first time that we 32 noticed the difference in the deer that come out of a clear 33 cut. The deer that come out of a clear cut are so skinny they 4 can hardly even walk around. They walk around kind of 35 staggering." But if you go -- he said, "I went down toward 36 Mud Bay and got some deer where there wasn't any clear cuts 37 yet," he said, "those deer were considerably fatter than what 38 we had around the Hoonah area."

40 41

So, one of the concerns that concerns me is that if the 3landless bill goes through and LUD II areas are considered, 4which is part of the other side of Point (indiscernible) that 5is where there's some timber that wildlife can sustain their 6lives. So, when we've got three and potentially four entities 7that are going to be logging within the Hoonah traditional 8usage area, we are going to be hit so hard it's going to -- you 9know, it's going to really devastate the community, especially

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

the culture. And I've always spoken on behalf of our cultur@32 and that's the way I will stand always. I will not stand for -- I never, ever stand for money coming out of corporations 'cause I know they will never give us enough money to sustain our lives once all this devastation has happened. So, I would -- I believe that the landless do deserve compensation, but I think that we really need to sit down and reconsider where they're going to do it and how they're going to do it without having to be adversely effective to a community that's already been devastated by the logging industry.

I've spoken to Sealaska, I've spoken to Hoonah Totem

1 I've asked Hoonah Totem what will the impact be on Hoonah

1 3 once you are done logging. It took them -- it took me four

1 4 times to ask them the same question, over and over and over

1 5 again, and the fourth time I finally just got angry and I just

1 6 practically had to yell at them and say, tell me what the

1 7 impact is going to be on Hoonah once it is done. And they -
1 8 the spokesperson for that -- on that board of directors, he's a

19friend of mine, he just said, "Well, Junior, we are a 20profit-making organization and we have to do it without any 21consideration to the culture of this community or the feelings 22of this community." So, it worries me that if Congress is 23going to put another bill in there, that's going to devastate 24our area further, that I don't know where our Native people of 25Hoonah are going to turn. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Frank. Starting with your slicensing to allow for subsistence on a commercially licensed yessel, I agree with that. As a result of that I've got a offleet of boats in my yard; one for berry picking, one for halibut, one for cohos, you know? And then they say we need to be economically depressed to qualify for subsistence. That's why I'm economically depressed. I can't keep up with all the depart to go after anything. So, anyway, but that's a good spoint, and it's a dumb law. But not very many laws have been acceptable of the subsistence of the subsi

Getting back to your plight with the harvesting of 9timber with profit-making corporations. I'm going to offer you 0my opinion, as probably something you will regret hearing. And 1that is to suggest that since it is a corporation, it's 2shareholder responsible, you're a shareholder in both Hoonah 3Totem and Sealaska, and the only people I know of that can do 4anything with a privately owned corporation is its members. 5And, however, if there's some way we can be of some influence 6to that, I can't speak for the council, but I would be willing 7to help in that area, because I agree with it.

Are there any comments around, any of the council or

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

49

50

just nod an approval? Yeah, okay. But, anyway,

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Lonnie.

MR. ANDERSON: Frank, the problem is not just unique in Hoonah. We have experienced the same thing in Kake, and we recognized this 10, 12, 14 years ago that timber would be decimated, and some of the -- Barbara Kadake (ph) and a few of 10the other ones said what are we going to do? And so we began 11to develop an economic development plan that would take us away 12from the dependence on timber and back to fishing. And it 13appears to be very successful. We have completed a new 14hatchery which would supply fish for cold storages operating 15now, and we are getting involved in some value-added products 16with the chum salmon. This will take up the slack of 17unemployment that a lot of industry will create, but at the 18same time all of the timber money that has been made from the 19timber is gone.

20 21 22

49

50

So, we basically are maybe a step ahead of you in that 15aspect, but those are some of the things that we have begun, 46and it's been a long, hard road. We have what we call an 27economic development plan meeting that takes place at least \$28 once a month, if not more often, which includes the organized 19village of Kake, the fish hatchery board, the Kake Tribal board 30and the coast -- the Kake fisheries; about five entities plus Ithe municipality. And so we picked goals that each one of 2those entities can accomplish in the line of economic \$3development so that we will not be duplicating each other's --34getting into each other's way and each one of the entities can \$5have their own little castles. You know how we are, we all 36like to think that we're the most important one of the five 37groups. But each entity tries to accomplish what -- say the 38cold storage, they get new markets or things of that sort. Say 40working. That might be one of the things that you need to 41start -- you know, you're involved with Hoonah, and it's very 2important to start thinking along that line. It's taken 10 or 4312 years of hard sitting down and being abused type situation 44because the parent corporations don't have answers for that 45question that you just asked. When the timber is gone, you 46know, it will be like the kid with the dish water, it will be 47thrown out. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You know, most things are pretty easy

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

to understand, but you wonder how in the hell MR. ANDERSON: Watch your language.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: can people -- yeah, can people that claim to embrace customary and tradition, our cultures, they cry about their heritage, but still, they'll draft up a logging plant just like you described. Doesn't sound too good to me, you know. Maybe that's where the mistrust started or comes from. But we don't seem to recognize it, everybody else thinks it's okay.

Well, I don't know. I agree. Mim, are you 10interrupting me?

MS. ROBINSON: There's been something that I've been $1\!\!1$ 2wanting to say and I'm just -- I'll wait till you're done. 13 14 15

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You've got the floor, Mim.

16

MS. ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just --**1**∮9what's been talked about today and kind of where my mind is alogoing here, it has to do with how much interference the Federal algovernment can have with private land, or even State 22government, what's done on private lands, and it seems to me 23I've been hearing things about spotted owl and other issues, 4other endangered species and stuff, and just how much can 25government say is done on private lands? And to me that is --#fit's a problem in our state, here in Southeast, and I think 27that if Murkowski's bill is introduced to deal with the 28landless Natives issue and the logging that people want to do 19and stuff is going to come up again, and I just -- I don't \$0think this council can do anything about it right now, but I \$1think that if there are going to be some hearings coming up \$2dealing with that legislation -- the proposed legislation, that 33we should be thinking about that, and thinking about how we 34feel about it.

Do we -- if a large area of land is given to the tribes 8in the future here, is it going to affect subsistence in our 39area? It sounds like it's affected subsistence in the Hoonah 4Oarea, and if a large number of people are affected by what's \$1done on private land concerning subsistence, then perhaps 2that's something that, you know, maybe some law should be made 43concerning subsistence use on private lands and -- you know, 44how much controlling -- applying what is done on public lands 45to what is done on private lands in that respect. So, anyway, 46it's just something to think about in the future here.

48 49

50

MR. WRIGHT: One of the things that kind of bothers me,

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

too, is, you know, the Federal government is required to do 285 environmental impact statement on where we're going to be cutting, but then you get to private lands, there's no such thing. I used to work for Hoonah Totem in 1981, but after I saw what was going on, I just couldn't do it anymore, and one of the -- like Mr. Anderson here said, try to diversify the economy, and one of the things we tried to do was get into the fisheries, but that kind of fell through, too.

I thank you guys for listening because I think we are at a critical point where the total existence of our tribe is at stake here, and I believe that in order for us to survive we longer to be able to use the natural resources that are lindigenous as we are indigenous people. Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Frank, have you given these concerns 14in writing?

16 MR. WRIGHT: Mostly I've only gone to informational 17meetings and addressed the board.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

19

20 21 22

23 24 MR. WRIGHT: Last

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let me tell you what I learned from 46(Tlingit name). One thing they said, if it isn't written, it 7isn't said, and it's very true. Since then I learned how to 28write and it's served me very well. You have a paper trail. 19And the reason I'm saying this to you is when you write things \$0 you learn how to be specific on what your concerns are, and 31when you're listing your concerns, list them as violations. 32Find somehow to list them as a violation, and that usually gets ∄3their attention, you know. And if you can't offer what you ∄4think would be a workable alternative -- it requires a little \$5doing, but you've got some active people, some bright people 36here in Hoonah, and you guys have got your problems all on the 37table, you don't have to look for them. All you've got to do 8now is identify them and present them. And I have a hunch that 39might get you some results. 40

MR. WRIGHT: When I found out about the Murkowski bill 3I went to the different organizations to get some resolutions 4that were -- they can go on record as opposing logging, and I 5got a resolution from ANB, ANS, the local Tlingit and Haida, 6the City of Hoonah, and the IRA, which has been sent to 7Murkowski, Stevens and Young, Tony Knowles, AFN, Grand Camp, 8ANB Grand Camp, ANS Central Council. So we did put a little 9bit of work in here to let them know the feeling of the total

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's a good start. But listen to what I said about being more specific. Thank you very much.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is there anyone else that would like to address the council or use this forum for any information or want any money? Tom?

MR. ABEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd just like to 10take this opportunity to thank the council for meeting in the 11village. I think it's a great step forward and I'd like to 12congratulate you for supporting the Hoonah IRA in their request 13for seeking management of their own resources. It is something 14that I support. I think that is one direction that this 15council should consider in moving. We all know that 16Newt Gingrich is in Washington DC, he's promoting less 17government and more local control, and by local control when I 18say local control, I mean tribal control, and I don't know what 19other -- you know, other people may have other types of control 20that they wish to use, but I think strengthening our tribal 11authority and jurisdiction through the use of subsistence is a 22great opportunity for this council to take on.

And with that in mind, having heard a lot in the last 25couple of days about habitat destruction and habitat in 26general, private timber harvest, Federal timber harvest, State 27timber harvest, I'd like to take this opportunity, 28Mr. Chairman, to invite the Federal Subsistence Council to meet 29in the island of Prince of Wales Island. There are three 30communities or four communities, whichever you'd like to choose 31there. I'm from Craig. I think that it could be very easily 32suggested to the other communities of Klawock and Hydaburg. If 33we have three-day meetings, I think it would be nice if we had 34a meeting in Klawock.

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'd like to go there. Would you 37like to invite us in the capacity of South Sea or

MR. ABEL: Well, that is the capacity that I have in my otitle, you know.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. So you're chairman now?

MR. ABEL: Yes, I am.

42

43

45 46

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

48 MR. ABEL: And I'd like to take this opportunity to do 49that. And I'd also like to share with you that we've been

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

trying to work together with the other village corporations, 237 and Bill is the former chairman of South Sea also, so we all share responsibility for some of the things that have happened in our own country.

And I'd like to share with the council the part of the philosophy of our directors now is we have to begin with the restoration process, and I'd like to let you know that that's underway. The planning is something that is underway, and I think that if you should choose to come to Prince of Wales Island for your next meeting that you could first-hand review the effects and have a nice opportunity to speak to the various 10members of various different communities, Native and 11non-Native, about their subsistence needs and concerns. And as 12you all know, Prince of Wales is the most heavily logged island 13in Southeast, and so with that in mind

1 4 1 5

16

18 19

20

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is there still Calder Bay oysters?

MR. ABEL: Yes, there are.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: All right.

MR. ABEL: You'll have to talk to Helia (ph) about 22that. They are for sale. So, I'd like to, again, thank you 23and congratulate you for meeting in the villages, which is the 24way it should be. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Tom. Tom has been an 27advocate for travel involvement and travel control for many 28years. He's one of our oldest radicals, and he is following 29and growing by leaps and bounds after a long stalemate, but I 30take my hat off to Tom. Congratulations and thank Ernestine 31for the jam. Hank.

32

MR. NEWHOUSE: I'll go on record with the Forest 4Service offering to co-host the next council meeting for the 35Prince of Wales Island.

36

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And what palatable goodies can we sexpect from the Forest Service?

MR. NEWHOUSE: Amaretto coffee all right?

3 9 4 0

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That will work. Thank you, Hank.
2That's the spirit it's going to take for us to continue to move
3forward. Anyone else? Marilyn?

45

MS. WILSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I was wondering if we 7 could take any action on -- like I think I asked this question 4 8 before, if we could support Hoonah's petition or resolution, 4 9 and that was co-management, I think it was. And I'd like to

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

see us at least do something like that to say that as counci238 members fighting for the rights of subsistence and subsistence protection, I don't see why it would be -- I think we could write a letter of support for this resolution.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The power of the council is in your hands. What's the wish?

MS. WILSON: I so move, Mr. Chairman, that we support this resolution.

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I second that motion.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. MS. WILSON: There's two resolutions.

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would you read the motion? No, you 15don't have to. I'm teasing you. The secretary is gone, we 16don't have name tags in front of ourselves, I don't know who in 17the heck we are.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Southeast 19Federal Regional Council support Resolution Number 94-13 and 20Resolution Number 94-14, by the Hoonah Indian Association. I 21so move.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you.

13

46

47 48

49

50

MR. ANDERSON: I still second it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll have discussion now.

MS. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Where's your name tag? Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: These tables are set up weird this time. I can't see anyone. Yeah, I'm just curious. Are they the 4same as the ones that were handed out to us that are 95-05 and 3506?

(Indiscernible - away from microphone)

MS. ROBINSON: I know, but I'm wondering if we should obe dealing with the current year rather than '94. Are they lidentical, someone from Hoonah?

43 MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, there's a 95-05 here that we 44got. 45

MS. PHILLIPS: You're correct.

MS. ROBINSON: Are they the same?

MS. PHILLIPS: The first one is. 95-05 is the same as

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

94 - 13.239

MS. ROBINSON: I would suggest then that the maker of the motion use the Resolution 95-05.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We'll make those corrections in our recording.

> MS. WILSON: Okay, I so move, that would be 95-05.

We've got it. Discussion? CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. MR. FELLER: I'll call for the question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All those 12in favor, say aye. 13 14 15 16

IN UNISON: Aye.

17 18

190123456789012

49

50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign?

(No opposing responses)

Mr. Chairman. MS. WILSON:

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Is that an opposed?

MS. WILSON: No. Are we still holding?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries.

MS. WILSON: I have something else.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: I'm pretty happy that we're supporting 34this resolution. I think our people need backup as much as 35possible. I wanted to bring something else up that was in our It's the petition that is from the -- golly, a whole ₹7bunch of them from the Northwest Arctic Regional Council, 38Stevens Village, Kawerak, Copper River, Alaska Fed of Natives, 39Alaska Intertribal Council, RurAL CAP, and it's a petition for 40rulemaking by the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture that \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 1selected but not conveyed lands are to be treated as public 2lands for the purpose of subsistence priority in Title VIII of 43ANILCA, and that the uses on non-public lands in Alaska may be 44restricted to protect subsistence uses on public lands in 45Alaska. I am assuming that the non-public lands in Alaska, 46they mean any large areas of privately owned land like Native 471and that we log and

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: And State lands.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE

272-7515

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 MS. WILSON: and any other kind. I think this is what we've been talking about on this landless, the like?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn, we don't have order, but you're out of order. I'm going to wait till we've heard from everybody. I'd appreciate your indulgence. Is there anything that the council would like to add about where we have our next meeting?

MS. JORGENSEN: I'd like to add pass on something about Tom Abel's invitation. Vicki LeCornu called me this morning, she said she's from Hydaburg, would extend an invitation to 10meet in Hydaburg, but also wanted to lend support to Tom's 11invitation.

.2 3

There's just one other comment, that when we look at 15 our Native lands in protecting them forever, Alexander from 16 Fort Yukon, we talked for years. But one of the things he did 17 when he became chairman was to transfer the land to the Native 18 tribal council so that the land, if for whatever reason the 19 corporation went bankrupt or someone was suing them, the land 20 was forever protected and was Native land and Indian land. And 21 that was just -- I found that real interesting, and my thoughts 22 were, too, that in that sense the traditional council and 23 corporations should be a good marriage, working together rather 24 than not working together. But I just wanted to share what 25 Clarence had done.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If we meet in the Craig area, I would 7prefer Ben's Cove. Any place on Shonda (ph).

28

MR. LEE: Yes, I'd just like to go on record, thanking othe Southeast Subsistence Advisory Board for a letter of slsupport for Resolution 95-05. I'm the vice president of the 2Hoonah Indian Association. So, I'd like to thank the board for 3the letter of support. Thank you.

34 35

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Anyone else?

36 37

MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman. Would you need a motion 8 from the council to go on record recommending the next meeting 9 be held in Klawock?

40

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I didn't say anything about Klawock.

MR. ANDERSON: Klawock is -- and Dewey is a board 4member and he is responsible and he'll have as good a reception 45as Richard did here.

46

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Dewey's not responsible, Tom is.

48 49 50

MR. ANDERSON: Well, I was worried about the chapter.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Hey, we're okay. Those are brothe2\$1 and sisters out there. A motion, that will take care of our next meeting place. So a motion is in order. The invitation came from Craig, Lonnie. MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion that our next advisory council meeting be held on Prince of Wales Island. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: At Craig, Klawock, Hydaburg? MR. ANDERSON: To be decided, which city. MS. PHILLIPS: Second. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Moved and seconded. Discussion? Do 13I hear Hydaburg, Stikine inviting us? 14 15 MR. FELLER: Mr. Chairman, I think this is a good 17motion, but I think you forgot that last year when Hoonah $1\!\!18$ invited us, you had an executive order there that it would be $\mathop{\sharp} 9$ in Wrangell next year, but I'm just jogging your memory there. 20 So, Wrangell is one of the landless and would be a good place 21to have a meeting, but I would go ahead and support this \$2motion, myself, and try to go for it the next time. I was just 23jogging your memory. 24 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You can't, I don't have one to jog. 46If we meet in a landless area, there's no land, there's 27 28 9 31 31 32 MR. FELLER: But there's CHAIRMAN THOMAS: there's no real choices, MR. ANDERSON: No subsistence. 33 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: no subsistence MR. FELLER: The Stikine River is real rich in 36subsistence food, Mr. Chairman. 38 MS. PHILLIPS: Question. 39 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All in 41favor, say aye. 42 43 IN UNISON: Aye. 44 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed? 46 47 (No opposing responses)

R & R COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. I'm assuming then that there

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

48 49

50

is no one else from the audience. We're running short on time? and you guys will all be considered cut-off at this point, 'cause Marilyn has some pressing stuff for us to do.

We'll go back to what you offered earlier, Marilyn, we'll do that first.

MS. JORGENSEN: In the back of our books there's two things, actually. There's the Federal Subsistence Management and Program Briefing document, and the issue is residency and license requirements for participating in Federal subsistence hunts, and rather than I take time to read that whole thing, we locould take a few minutes and read it as a break, and look at the options and see if there was any recommendations you wanted locould take to the Federal Subsistence Board, and then Marilyn mentioned the petition for rulemaking by the Secretaries of 4Interior and Agriculture, and I'm not sure what we're looking that other than if we want to go on record to the board that we found support the petition for rulemaking or not support or 17don't want to have any comments or what.

19 So, on the first part, on the residency issue, do we 20just want to take a few minutes and read that? Okay. I'll 21declare a 10-minute break.

(Off record)
(On record)

23 24

25

38

39

43

50

26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You folks have had a chance to read 27the document to define residency. After having read the 28information on residency does anybody have an option to bring 29it forward for consideration?

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: In the letter from Tom Allen, State 55Director, on the third page, he gives an option. I would move 6to prefer.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Would that be option B or option C?

0 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, it's in the third letter from 1Tom Allen.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh.

MS. PHILLIPS: It's "the pertinent valid resident State 5hunting, fishing, and trapping licenses unless Federal licenses 6are required or unless otherwise provided for in these 7regulations." What did we do to the language is insert 8"resident."

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So, you would like to offer to ins@#\$ the word "resident"?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did you so move?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

MS. WILSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn, you don't have your name tag up. It's been moved and seconded, on the third page in a letter by Tom Allen. Has everybody gotten to that page? Okay. It "the pertinent valid resident..." -- it's underlined, leindicates as being inserted -- "...State hunting, fishing, and latrapping licenses unless Federal licenses are required or latent otherwise provided for in these regulations."

MS. ROBINSON: Yes, I haven't had time to read this 18letter yet but I did notice on page 2, at the bottom, preceding 19this option that's made on page 3, it says the old wording --20it doesn't have the word "resident" in there, and then it says: 21 "This wording allows a person that moves to a rural community 22in Alaska from outside the state, establishes a residence in 23that community, and obtains a non-resident hunting license to 24qualify to hunt under Federal regulations." So it's a little 25bit of an explanation of where he's -- or why he made that 26option there.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's true, and that's going to 9happen, because of the difference between State and Federal. 30Further discussion?

MS. ROBINSON: Question.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question's been called. All those in 5favor, signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

32

37

38 39

40

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion is carried. I read through 4this petition from other regions up north, and my assessment is 5to suggest no action from this council. It doesn't qualify how 6they arrived at the allegations that they're mentioning in 7here, and we've got a bunch of professional, astute posturers 8in these regions, so this is a typical exercise for those guys, 9and so I don't think we necessarily want to identify ourselves

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

with them in each case. So, my recommendation would be no 244 action. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Yes. This section here that we're talking about, these petitions, it goes with what I was saying earlier. I didn't realize it was in the book here, but it is dealing with that issue of -- that I had talked a little bit ago about private lands and what's done on private lands, and for myself, I don't feel ready to make a decision on that at this time.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm not following you.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, on page

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What are you referring -- what action ¶5are you referring to? 16

MS. ROBINSON: You were just saying you suggest we $1\!\!18$ should not take an action on this.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: On this petition?

MS. ROBINSON: Right.

9 10

13

20 21 22

25

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes.

MS. ROBINSON: Yes. Well, I'm kind of saying the same 27thing, and I'm saying why. 28 29 CHAIRMAN THOMAS:

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, yeah. Yeah.

30 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Well, on page 26 of this petition 32there's a "Conclusion."

33 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right.

MS. ROBINSON: Which is, it says: "For the foregoing 37reasons, petitioners respectfully request that the following 38two actions be taken:

- 1. an interpretive rule be promulgated which states \P 1that the federal government has the authority to regulate 2hunting and fishing on non-public lands if such uses interfere 43with subsistence uses on public lands; and
- 2. an interpretive rule be promulgated which places 45selected but not conveyed lands within the purview of the 46subsistence priority."

So, I -- that is dealing with what I was talking about 49earlier and what the gentleman from Hoonah, Frank Wright, Jr.,

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 272-7515

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The Chair entertains a motion?

MS. ROBINSON: No, I'm not going to make a motion, but I am reinforcing

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: A motion for no action?

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Do you want one?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes.

10 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Then I'll make that motion, and I 11also have a question for Carol.

13 MR. FELLER: I'll second that motion. It needs a 14second.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. 17Discussion? Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Thank you. Carol, it says on the next 20page, after that page 26, comments must be submitted on or 21before, and the insert date or whatever. I see there's some 22handwriting there, probably April 3, 1995? So, this -- I take 23it that this petition can be commented on; is that correct, and 24is that date accurate?

MS. JORGENSEN: I'm not positive about that date, Mim. I'd have to ask, but I would say since it's penciled in, we also use it, and it wouldn't be before that anyway.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you very much.

MS. WILSON: Question.

34 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All in 35favor, say aye. 36

IN UNISON: Aye.

30 31 32

37 38 39

40

42

43 44

45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed? (No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

48 MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to bring up 49some concerns of my community on these that are on state lands, 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

but it seems like we could at least give a position or our 246 ideas on it.

One is the removal of the Chilkoot weir which our people have been trying to do for many years, and the other is a fish egg take or hatchery, and we are going to be making resolutions to this effect to not allow fish egg take or hatcheries in the Chilkat and Chilkoot Lakes or the rivers, and all of Lynn Canal area.

And the other one is the hydroelectric dam they want to build up in the Chilkoot area, and it's in a very sensitive area of what we call -- oh, gosh, what was the name of that -- 10the Glory Hole, and all of our people are really up in arms, 11 and we're going to be sending resolutions to the right places, 12but I wanted to bring it to the attention of the -- what we 13have left of our council here and see if there's anything or 14 any ideas they can give us.

16

MS. JORGENSEN: Marilyn, I'm glad you brought those up. 18 I'll expound a little bit. One of our chiefs who passed away 19a little over a year ago, Austin Hammond, was one of the people 20that had been requesting for years and years and years and 21years that that weir be removed, and requesting to the 22Department of Fish & Game Commercial Fisheries, and we actually 23had Brian Alee -- Dr. Brian Alee (ph) come up one year and 24speak with us, and he agreed that that weir -- and this is a 25man that works with hatcheries and so on, that he agreed that 26the people were right to want that weir out.

27

On the fish egg take, there's two gentlemen that I work 9with, Cal Caspit (ph) in our area explained to me in the river 30where they wanted to take the eggs before, there's two 31indigenous sockeye runs there, that tampering with these eggs, 32if they tamper with these they certainly can get fish, but 33they're not going to get the same kind of fish and it won't be 34returning properly is what was explained to me or could happen, 35and the people know this and they've been concerned in and 36fighting this for a long time.

7

And I also know about the hydro-electric dam and the 9great concern that people have for that. But I just wanted to 0expound a little bit on the two before because Austin remembers 1the day when there were so many fish in that river and ever 2since the weir was put in, and I think it was around statehood 3there's never been the same happening there.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Did you get the response, Marilyn?

45

MS. WILSON: Yes. I'd like to get the names that you 7mentioned on that, about the indigenous sockeye and the fish 8egg take.

49 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

Marilyn, do you have more? MS. WILSON: No, that's all I have. I just wanted to know -- I'd like to maybe make a motion to have this council support whatever Haines ANB and ANS do, we're the ones making the resolution. I so move. MS. PHILLIPS: Second. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. Discussion? 10 MR. FELLER: Are there resolutions already made, 11Marilyn? 13 MS. WILSON: No, I would have had to do it after our $1\!\!14$ memorial service we had before I came -- the day before I came, 15and we just didn't have time. MR. FELLER: Okay. Basically it was just to get rid of 17the weir? 18 19 MS. WILSON: Basically it was -- it's to start working 20to get rid of the weir, and I think we'd have to do that 11through the legislature and those places. MR. FELLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 24 25 26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Further discussion? MR. FELLER: I'll call for the question. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All those 29in favor, say aye. 30 31 32 IN UNISON: Aye. 33 34 35 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed, same sign. (No opposing responses) CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries. You know, to get rid \$7of a weir, all you need is a grapple hook and a bulldozer. It 38 sounds kind of weird, but it works. Mim. 40 MS. ROBINSON: Yes. I had a question. 41 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: My hearing is getting bad, so hurry 43up. 44 MS. ROBINSON: Okay. I have a question about this, 46going back to the petition. I would like to be able to comment 47on this before the deadline personally, but I need more 48 information. I wish that this had been dealt with more, it 49would have been good to have something come from the council.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Does that respond to your concerns 247

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: On the petition?

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, just because I think it is a serious issue, but anyway, is there someone that I can talk to?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We can bring it back.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, I don't know, if -- it will take some time. I mean

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We can take the time.

MS. ROBINSON: Maybe some other council members can say 1 what they think about this. We just touched on it lightly 1 2 today. I didn't realize that there was something already that 1 3 we could respond to, and I'm just sitting here thinking about 1 4 it a little bit more. I wonder if we're being hasty and not 15 taking the action on this.

17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, the reason I offered no action 18is because they don't give a source to qualify their charges 19here, and more than likely the provisions will be found 20someplace else, and this is not a new thing. But if you would 21like, there's no harm and no consequence to putting our name to 22it.

23 24 MS. ROBINSON: You've got me confused. There's 25no

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That's what I'm trying to do.

MS. ROBINSON: There's no -- you said something about 30no source, no -- what are you talking about? What

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let me offer something else.

MS. ROBINSON: Help me out a little bit.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Let me offer something else. Let's 7disregard my last suggestion to do nothing and let's associate 8ourselves with that and then we'll learn from whatever 9follow-up there is.

MS. ROBINSON: So, are you talking about that we'd be 42adding our names to the list of petitioners or something?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah.

28

32

35

44

45

50

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, okay. That I didn't understand. I 7didn't understand that that was an option that we have. I 8thought maybe we were just commenting on the petition itself.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, I see. Well, I would like to make a motion that we reconsider the motion about taking no action. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion. Is there a second?

MR. FELLER: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. Discussion?

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: On one of the pages it lists the \sharp 5departments, but on the second page it gives for further 16information contact, and Dick Pospahala is one of 'em, and 17Norm Howse is another one to direct questions to.

> CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Further discussion? MS. ROBINSON: Question.

20 21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All those 23in favor, say aye. 24 25 26 27 28

IN UNISON: Aye.

8 9 10

12

13

19

29

50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion is carried. The Chair 31entertains a motion to what, associate ourselves to sign this 32or what?

MS. ROBINSON: We could do that. I don't know if I'm \$5going to go for it or not, but I'll make the motion that we add ∄6our -- add council's name to the list of petitioners and see 37where it goes from there.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The motion was to add our name to the ⅓9list of people submitted by. Is there a second? 40

MR. FELLER: I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's been moved and seconded. 44Discussion? Discussion is exhausted. Is there a call for the 45question? 46

MS. ROBINSON: I don't think it's exhausted at all. 48wish Norm was here.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

MS. JORGENSEN: The only thing I can add, Mim, is that 0 well, I just learned a week ago that this was coming down and it was faxed to me the day before, but I do know that this has been very thoroughly a concern up in the Northwest Arctic, who first submitted it and had Eric Smith write it up for them, and then the others joined in. Everybody on this petition has a concern with the fact that they want subsistence rights protected on these other private lands and so on. So, they -it's well thought through on their part. I wouldn't feel comfortable in trying to speak for them or say for or against, but the argument there on page 11, it kind of also gives a pretty good reason for your thinking while you're thinking on 10this motion.

12

13 14

15

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: I did not read all of this and I just 19 didn't do my homework, but to me this is the protection we've 20been looking for on the lands that we hold, and even the lands \$\frac{1}{2} \text{1 that are conveyed lands that we already have. So, I would -- I 22think I would vote for it, for our names to be on it.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

MS. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman.

28

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

29

MS. ROBINSON: I was just noticing on page 14, it says 31down at the bottom there, "George Holland has already \$2tentatively agreed with petitioners' position." Also I'm 33wondering if someone answered this question, with this if this 34petition were dealt with affirmatively there in the report 35process or whatever this process is going through, would it be 36setting a precedent for the nation?

38

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I couldn't answer that.

39

MS. ROBINSON: Where is all our staff? Have they all \$\frac{1}{2} bailed out before we're done? Don't they know that the good 42things are left to the end?

MR. THOMPSON: Ken Thompson, Forest Service. I don't 45think it particularly would. I don't believe that what action 46is taken on this petition necessarily would have any -- set any \P 7 $\mathsf{precedence}$ nationwide. I'm sure there are $\mathsf{probably}$ some 48attorneys who may look at this action and try to draw some 49inferences, but we're dealing with ANILCA and the provisions in

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MS. ROBINSON: So this is going -- this is a petition to the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture; is that correct?

MR. THOMPSON: I have to tell you, I haven't even read the petition. Norm is \dots

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Well, it looks like something on the introduction here it says that those people hereby request the Secretary of Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to reverse two decisions by the previous administration with 10respect to implementation of subsistence priority under Title 11VIII of ANILCA.

MR. THOMPSON: It would sound that that's true.

MS. ROBINSON: Sounds like that's what they're doing.

150kay. So we're dealing with -- okay, I feel very ignorant

16about this and I really -- I don't like making decisions on

17something I'm really ignorant about. So, it looks to me like

18we're -- it's not going through the court system or anything at

19this point, except that it does mention Judge Holland there on

20that page 11 or whatever it was. Why would Judge Holland be

21commenting on this if it's just going to the Secretaries?

MR. THOMPSON: Well, it's -- I believe it's part of the 44Where 2, Where 3.

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, okay.

26

44

50

MR. THOMPSON: That aspect of the Katy John litigation, 29the combined litigation that was argued Wednesday. We'll 30presumably hear something about that within a month or two, a 31decision. And it really has the same inferences in this 32petition.

MS. ROBINSON: Now, it seems to me somewhere in the 6last few days I've heard mention that Southeast is not -- does 7 not feel the impact as the people do up north because we have 8 so much Federal land -- so much of Southeast is Federal rather 9 than private. However, if the -- if 600-and-some-thousand 0 acres are going to be given away here in the next year or so to 1 private -- to non-profit groups here or non-public groups, then 2 I suspect that we would be feeling more of an impact. So, 3 okay

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If the council chooses, we can wait fountil after lunch to complete action. Lunch is being readied 7 over there now, and they're not really a restaurant facility, 48so come and get it or we'll throw it out.

MS. ROBINSON: I think that would be nice to be able to

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

think about this through lunch and maybe chat with some memb@58 of the staff or whoever and make a decision.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. We'll take a break and we'll stew about this over stew.

MS. ROBINSON: That's good.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So, we'll be back at 12:40.

(Off record) (On record)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're going to continue with where we 11left off a while ago with terms of trying to better understand 12some of the implications of this. We're generally agreed that 13the intent is consistent with our intent, so that would make it $1\!\!14$ a plus by any action we take on it. But before we do that, 15Rachel has some information she's going to share with us, and 16then there's other people that will be making comments with 17reference to this, and hopefully by virtue of that, we'll feel 18comfortable in forwarding this after we're through here. 19Rachel, if you would.

MS. MASON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I hope this will 22shed some light on the subject. What I have here is a briefing 23document that we received before the October meetings last 4fall, and this concerns this petition which is known as the 25NARC petition for Northwest Arctic Regional Council. And I'll 26just read it to you:

"Several entities representing subsistence users, 29including the Northwest Arctic Regional Council, have submitted \$0to the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture a petition 31asking for a rulemaking that:

1. the Federal government has the authority to 34regulate hunting and fishing on non-public lands if such uses \$5interfere with subsistence uses on public lands, and

2. selected but not yet conveyed lands are within the 38purview of the subsistence priority.

40

Up until now the Board has not exerted authority over 2hunting or fishing on non-Federal lands. Current Federal 43regulations exclude lands that are selected by but not yet 44conveyed to Native Corporations and the State of Alaska. The 45question of jurisdiction for purposes of Title VIII over 46State- and Native-selected lands is known as the 'Where 3' 47issue..."

49

50

And this is one of the "where" issues that have been

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

consolidated and are being heard right now in the Katy John 253 case in Seattle.

The petition was submitted April 12, 1994, to the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture. The Secretaries are expected to issue a Federal Register notice soon -- it doesn't say when, soliciting public comments on the petition.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Mim.

15

16

49

50

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, I have a question there. You read something there that kind of caught my ear there, something about having to do with the State. Could you read that again?

11 MS. MASON: Okay. So far the Board hasn't exerted 12authority over hunting and fishing on any non-Federal lands, 13and that would include both State lands and private lands.

MS. ROBINSON: But do they have the authority to?

17 MS. MASON: That's what is addressed here in the 18petition. The question of jurisdiction for purposes of Title 19VIII over either State or Native selected lands.

MS. ROBINSON: So the petition is calling into a 22question then who has authority to administer control over 23these lands?

MS. MASON: Including State lands, as I understand it, 26or it could include lands that are selected by but not yet made 27to the state of Alaska.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Ken Thompson, would you pull up a 30chair alongside Rachel there, because you're going to be in 31this, too. You can just grab the mike from her whenever you 32feel like it there.

MR. THOMPSON: Ken Thompson, Forest Service. Mim and I 6had a little discussion over lunch and suggested that we make 7it clear to the council members that there are, in fact, just 8two entities who manage fish and game in the State of Alaska; 9it's primarily the State, and the Federal government for 0managing subsistence priority on Federal lands. And so the 1issue here in the petition is to seek Federal authority to 2manage for subsistence priority off of Federal lands on these 3selected lands that have not yet been conveyed. Presently the 4State manages -- sets seasons and bag limits on these lands, 5and this petition, if it were to be acted upon and regulations 6passed, would provide Federal authority to manage for that 7subsistence priority.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Mim.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MS. ROBINSON: So, would it be a fair assumption to 25\$ that the petitioners have not been satisfied with how the State has managed for subsistence priority on these non-public lands?

MR. THOMPSON: That would be a fair statement.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Further discussion? We do appreciate you folks' input. I didn't say we're through with you, but we appreciate it. We'll call you back, if needed. Further discussion?

MS. ROBINSON: Well, we had a motion on the table to 10adopt this?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes.

12

13 14

15

30 31

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: When we vote on this, right now Rachel 19said this is in the -- one of the cases in the Katy John case. 20 So whatever we do, I feel like I didn't do my homework here #land I -- but the main thing of this petition is to protect \$2 subsistence, and just reading the blue page in the front there, 23the main intent of this petition is for priority on the lands, 24but I think that our main purpose is to protect subsistence, 25and I forgot how our motion was worded. Was it to support this 26petition or to add our name? 27 28 29

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Add our name.

MS. WILSON: Add our name. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, I'm wondering, is there any \$6possibility that it's not possible for us to add our name at \$7this time? I mean is it considering that it's just about to be \$8put in the Federal Register and things like that, is there a 39cut-off date if we missed it or are we just by requesting to 40add our name adding a little more oomph to it? So maybe Carol lor one of the staff people -- other staff members can shed any 42light on that?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: She's looking for some language that 45would support your comment. I'll give her the microphone to 46transmit her comment.

MS. JORGENSEN: No, I was just reading something, but 48it didn't clarify it for me. I'm not sure. I'm not sure, to 49be honest with you, if we could add our name or not. Gloria?

R & R COURT REPORTERS

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ MASCHMEYER: (Indiscernible - away from microphone).

MR. SUMMERS: Clarence Summers, National Park Service. I think I know a little something about this. There's a draft, I think, a Federal Register notice, and I think it was published recently, and Bill Knauer is the expert, but the idea is there's a request in to the Secretaries to extend the Federal program to lands that are not currently covered, and so there's a call for comment, so it's open to anyone. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. Mim.

11

12

20

28

42 43

44 45

46 47

48 49

50

MS. ROBINSON: So, it sounds like maybe at this time we 14should just, rather than add our name or the council's name to 15the petition, we would just be in support of the petition. 16Also, I noticed something -- another person who didn't do her 17homework -- on page 11 of -- it's under the Argument section 18there. It says:

"Petitioners frankly are perplexed as to why the 22previous Administration disclaimed the authority to restrict 3uses on non-public lands to protect subsistence uses on public 24lands, especially in light of the fact that the authority to 25regulate non-Federal lands to protect activities on Federal 26lands is routinely asserted throughout the remainder of the 27United States."

This is something that Ken and I were talking about at 30 lunch, that this is something that's already done elsewhere. 31 The Federal government does have a say over what happens on 32 private lands. So, -- and these petitioners were, you know, 3 3 couldn't understand why the Federal government wasn't 34 consistent in their actions. So, I would, as the maker of the 35 motion, like to change the motion to say that the council 36 supports this petition, the NARC petition.

38 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You want to withdraw the first motion 39and replace it with this one?

MS. ROBINSON: I'll withdraw the original motion.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Does the second withdraw?

MS. ROBINSON: John, I think you seconded it.

MR. FELLER: Yeah, I agree, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Another motion is in order.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

MS. ROBINSON: So, I make the motion to -- that the council support the NARC petition. I don't know if we would maybe write a letter -- I guess it would be in the form of comments to -- wherever the comments are supposed to be addressed to on the -- let's see, for the public comment on the NARC petition. Wherever someone comments on the Federal Register, okay, it would be in that form.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

MS. WILSON: Since we're in support -- well, maybe, lafter we vote on it, if we're in support of this NARC petition, lawno is going to write the comment; are we going to have a lacomment from this council, and who will draft up the comment land what will be in it and so forth?

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: First of all, we need a second to the 19motion.

MS. PHILLIPS: Second.

21

26 27 28

29 30

45

46

23 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Who will make the comment? 24What's the wish of the council?

MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard.

MR. DALTON: This is a self-explanatory petition for 2rulemaking. It appears that we're either going to support this 3 or we don't support it, and if we support it then the comments 4 will come later. As I understand, by Roberts Rules, because we 3 5 cannot find ourself in the predicament where we are not 3 6 obligated to make any comments -- but we would be obligated to 3 7 make a comment if we support this issue.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. Well, the motion was to Osupport. The question was: When it comes time to make the 1comment who will make the comment? That's what we're trying to 2determine now; who will make the comment.

MR. DALTON: I meant before that.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, looking at the -- again on that 8conclusion on page 26 of the petition, the -- where it says the 49foregoing reasons petitioners respectfully request that the

R & R COURT REPORTERS

following two actions be taken. I think that it would not b@57 too burdensome to have our coordinator write a letter saying that the council is in support of those 1. and 2. that's on that page there. And

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Before we do that

MS. ROBINSON: We can keep it as simple as that. That's what I would have in mind. That's what this motion would be asking.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, that's good, but I think we 1should make it understandable in the note someplace as to what 2we intend from this; what do we intend the comments to do? 3Just to reflect our support, moral support, active support, 4weak support? We really should be specific as much as we can. 5 Do we list it as support in general and see what that brings? 6 I don't think there's any jeopardy in doing that. So, just 7saying that we -- this is notification of our support of the 8intent of this petition?

20 MS. ROBINSON: What I would want the letter to say 21would be something like this:

That the council agrees with the petitioners that 1., 24an interpretive rule be promulgated which states the Federal 25government has the authority to regulate hunting and fishing on 26non-public lands if such uses interfere with subsistence uses 27on public lands, and 2., an interpretive rule be promulgated 28which places selected but not conveyed lands within the purview 29of the subsistence priority.

That's what the letter should say.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Just so that if any follow-up 4comes up we'll be able to follow. Further discussion?

MR. DALTON: Question.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called for. All sthose in favor, signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Ave.

32

36

40

41

42 43

44 45 46

47

48 49

50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carries.

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

 $\,$ MS. WILSON: Do we need another motion to do what Mim wants to do?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No.

MS. WILSON: Okay.

30

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim has been a member for so long that she doesn't need all those provisions like new members. No, all she did was offer language in the support letter, so that's still under discussion. And that was good. Thanks, Mim. That was good.

11 Well, we have people here. We have a proposal that was 12brought to us from the Sitka Tribe. Do you all have a copy of 13it? I will ask Carol if she will read it, even though we'll 14follow along.

16 MS. JORGENSEN: Sitka Tribe of Alaska, Tribal 17Government for Sitka, Alaska, Southeast Federal Subsistence 18Resource Region, Proposal:

19 Existing regulation: Unit 4 - Customary and 20traditional use determination on all salmon and finfish 21species, all bottom fish including halibut and all shellfish. 22Only the harvest of sockeye salmon, herring and herring eggs 23have bene recognized as a customary and traditional use.

Proposed regulation: Unit 4 - Customary and 26traditional use determination on all salmon and finfish 27species, all bottom fish including halibut and all shellfish. 28Customary and traditional use determination on all species 29listed above.

Reason for changing regulation: All salmon and finfish 2species, all bottomfish including halibut and all shellfish are 3today and always have been customarily and traditionally used 4by the Native people in the Southeast.

Effect of the proposed change on fish and wildlife 7populations: The use determination will have no effect on 8these marine species.

Effect of proposed change on subsistence users: The 1change will honor and protect the cultural, political, 2religious and spiritual wellness and subsistence needs of the 3Native people in the Southeast.

5 Additional information: Question: Who invented the 6halibut hook?

48 Proposed by: Sitka Tribe of Alaska Subsistence 49Committee.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

456 Katlian Street, Sitka, Alaska, 99835, with their telephone numbers.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To answer the question, who invented the halibut hook? My best information tells me Eagle Claw. Eagle Claw made the first halibut hook. Is that correct? Is that disputed from Sitka Tribes or what? Way off base, huh? Nice try? Okay, it's a self-explanatory proposal.

We will not place this proposal on the table for consideration. We don't have a staff analysis.

11 MS. WILSON: Mr. Chair. I need to find my resolution 12here -- proposal.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim.

14

115

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. I just am kind of wondering, 17since this is dealing with fish and other things from the sea, 18what is -- there's not a whole lot we can do with this. The 19Federal Subsistence Board would not be able to deal with it, 20and so what -- I mean maybe we could do a letter of support or 21something to go somewhere. I don't know, it seems like our 22hands are kind of tied at this point.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We can deal with it like any other 5proposal, forward it. Herman.

MR. KITKA: On this proposal we were denied by the 9state. (Indiscernible - away from microphone) when we 0 (indiscernible) those other species (indiscernible) for our 1 use. And to this day the State Fish & Game hasn't done 2 anything about it. It's (indiscernible) to our culture and 3 religion. A person passes away, sometimes that person uses 4 halibut a lot, so at his memorial (indiscernible), and this is 5 being denied to our people, even though we have subsistence 6 rights in Sitka, we are only allowed to take sockeye and 7 herring and roe and all other species was used by our people 8 for centuries. Southeast Alaska from (indiscernible) from my 9 area were accepted, and (indiscernible) were denied the use of 0 these things.

I have a lawsuit against the State pending on this 4issue, but the State has refused to (indiscernible) bring it 5into the courts. They always find some kind of excuse for 6(indiscernible) about the (indiscernible). What we figure if 7we enter this proposal with the Feds, (indiscernible) it will 8force the issue on our people for our future. We need to have 9this settled. We're not asking for our fish regulations, we're

R & R COURT REPORTERS

asking for our subsistence use. A lot of our people go out 260 smoke dog salmon, sockeye king salmon because (indiscernible), they smoke halibut and salmon and they smoke halibut and their subsistence use durign the winter. So far there has been no control by any Federal or State Fish & Game. We just want to make it legal for our people to use these things.

I kind of (indiscernible). (Indiscernible - away from microphone)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you have a comment?

MS. MASCHMEYER: Yes, this is Gloria Maschmeyer, with

OFish & Wildlife Service in the Office of Subsistence

1 Management. This proposal, as we have, is it correct that this

1 2 has not gone through the Office of Subsistence Management to

1 3 the Board?

14 15

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No.

46

MS. MASCHMEYER: Okay. So the process would be, in 18 order to enter this into the mainstream for proposals is that 19 it would be a proposed regulation. It cannot be considered for 20 the coming '95/96 year because there was a cut-off date for 21 those proposals on November 11, 1994. However, it can be 22 submitted, I'm sure, probably at this time to be considered for 3 the next regulatory year, and then there would be a staff 24 analysis on it and it would be brought back to the winter 25 meeting, such as on the proposals we're working on today, it 26 could be approved or action taken at that time.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Thank you. Mim.

28

MS. ROBINSON: Yes. I have a question for you before 30you leave the mike. Yes, I was just wondering, how -- you 31sound familiar with the process up there, maybe even Bill or 32Carol could come in, too, but seeing this is dealing with a 3fisheries issue, how would the Board deal with being presented 34with a proposal like this at this time without having the 35situation settled between the Federal government and State?

35s 36 37

MS. MASCHMEYER: Well, there is existing regulations, so is that existing regulation, is it a Federal or is it a 9State regulation? I mean 'cause if this -- Carol, do you know oif this comes out of our -- is it a Federal regulation or a 1State regulation that we're proposing? Because we cannot 2change State regulations; we can only address the regulations 3in our Federal regulations, but you could probably add 4regulations.

45 46 MS. JORGENSEN: Ken will speak to that.

17

48 MR. THOMPSON: Ken Thompson, Forest Service. I might 49remind the council, and they're probably abundantly aware of it 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

already, that C & T proposals have been brought to the Board261 over the past four years, and for the most part, not entirely, but for the most part the Board has chosen not to rule on those C & T proposals, either game or fish because we have adopted C & T statewide and we're in the process of reviewing those.

Now, with respect to fisheries, the issue that Mim mentioned, that we don't have jurisdiction, we don't recognize Federal jurisdiction for fisheries in navigable waters under current Federal regulations. So, the Board, for the time being anyway, would take no action on that, they would just simply refer that back to the council or to whoever wanted to propose it for a future proposal. But they would take no action on it now.

1 2

MS. JORGENSEN: Yeah, that's correct, because we've got 14Katy John pending and nothing has been decided in that area, 15but certainly the council could put this forward. In the 16charter it talks about fisheries and so on, but they could put 17it forward and -- I mean that's your right, and, you know, at 18least the intent, because I know what Herman's talking about as 19far as king salmon and coho, they're not subsistence, but 20they've always been subsistence things. It's at least bringing 21it forward to when Katy John is settled. You know, you've got 22something already on the area where you're making an intent.

24

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. One of the things you're anticipating from this council, and one of the reasons that this language was put in ANILCA, the people in the chain of scommand, beginning at Department of Interior, realized that severything that was put in granite wasn't always the best thing they could do. So, without ever admitting it, they're looking alfor some boldness from volunteer advocacies, and they list that always of the council.

33

It says: The council possesses the authority to 5perform the following duties: 1., initiate, review and 6evaluate proposals for regulations, policies, management plans 7 and other matters relating to subsistence uses of fish and 8 wildlife on public lands within the region. Provide a forum 9 for the expression of opinions. Encourage local and regional 0participation in the decision making process affecting the 1 taking of fish and wildlife in public land within the region 2 where subsistence is.

43

We're satisfying the provisions, every one of those.

5That's why I say, the Board might not be that anxious to do

6that, but as a council, we need to give them the hot end of the

7match. Ken.

48 49 50

MR. THOMPSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I certainly

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

encourage the council to do whatever you wish. It won't hur£62 send it in. I'm just -- we're suggesting what the Board's reaction might be. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: If we do act on this we'll say pursuant to encouragement from Ken Thompson. Mim. MR. THOMPSON: You can say that, too. MS. ROBINSON: Oh, I was thinking that if we did adopt this proposal and to forward it to the Board with a letter stating that we realize that this is out of cycle, and we also realize that the Board is not dealing with C & T use in 10Southeast right now, however, this is an important issue and we #1wanted you to be aware of it, and we would also -- we're 12presenting this to show how urgent it is that this issue be 13resolved with our region. Something to that effect. 14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Now, you have to remember that these 16don't go up to the Board unaccompanied. They go with Carol and 17me.18 19 MS. ROBINSON: Right. 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Biting every word that's in here. MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. Okay. You could even have some 23of Herman's comments excerpted and put into it also. 25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah, they're very much respected in 26there. Marilyn. MS. WILSON: I was just thinking about the halibut, 19that's international, or how to they call that? It's 31 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: International Halibut Commission. 32 MS. WILSON: Yeah. I think we should call the halibut 34a landbased fish because it rests on the bottom, so that would 35make it Federal. MS. ROBINSON: Boy, is that a good one. 38 39 MR. FELLER: Yeah, all right. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn, Marilyn, Marilyn. I think 41it's a good idea, but we're not going to do that. That's true. 42 They should have legs instead of fins. 43 44 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman. 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Lonnie.

48 MR. ANDERSON: I would certainly support the 49resolution

R & R COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's a proposal. MR. ANDERSON: The proposal. (Indiscernible)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah. The proposal hasn't gotten into the proposal packet, but our charge is coming again for new proposals.

MS. ROBINSON: It would just go in on the next cycle. I mean, they would hold it and go in on the next cycle, I would think.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, if they reject it in this #1cycle, I'll put it in the next cycle. I wouldn't try to 12out-guess them, I'd just give it to them. Has the motion been 14beautiful when it's made. Patricia.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair. Move to adopt Sitka Tribe of 17Alaska's Southeast Federal Subsistence Resource Region Proposal 18as Proposal 6 and to adopt. 19

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You heard the motion. The motion was 11to identify this proposal as Proposal #6. The motion is to 22adopt. Do I hear a second?

MR. ANDERSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: So seconded. Discussion?

Question. MS. WILSON:

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All those ∄lin favor, say aye. 333456

IN UNISON: Aye.

317

44 45

46 47

48

49 50 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Those opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion is carried. Okay. 39preoccupied and worried about my flight. Oh, thank you.

MS. JORGENSEN: Just in regards to administrative \$2matters, has everybody turned their paperwork back in to 43Gloria?

MS. MASCHMEYER: I need copies of hotel receipts.

MS. JORGENSEN: You need copies of what?

MS. MASCHMEYER: Hotel receipts.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

MS. JORGENSEN: Okay. Mim's

MS. ROBINSON: I didn't keep any hotel receipt.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: You were on a P.O.

MS. ROBINSON: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: We're on a P.O. for lodging.

MS. JORGENSEN: Yeah.

16

20

29 30

38

39

43 44

45 46 MS. ROBINSON: We didn't pay for any.

MS. JORGENSEN: The hotel should send the bill up to 1/3Terry, but as long as you've got, you know, if you've got the 14other paperwork like you were given, your check and so on, then 15get that back to Gloria.

The last thing was re-established, a place of meeting, 188which was Craig, on Prince of Wales Island. And

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patricia.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair. I've brought to your 3attention House Joint Resolution Number 25, and you told me 24that we could bring it up later on.
25
26 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's time
27
28 MS. PHILLIPS: May I bring

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: It's time.

MS. PHILLIPS: May I bring it up?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'm a man of my word.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, Mr. Chairman, while Patty is \$3passing this out, two other things that we still need to deal 34with are the C & T process that Mr. Chairman is getting 35together and talking about C & T. I think there was going to 36be some further discussion about that or something?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yes.

MS. ROBINSON: And also there was supposed to have been \$\frac{1}{2} a letter written about Carol doing the report or responding to 42our annual report. Anyway, those are just two other issues.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I'd like to introduce a resolution 49substituting the legislature -- the Alaska State Legislature

R & R COURT REPORTERS

with Southeast Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council265 MS. ROBINSON: Second. MS. PHILLIPS: Excuse me, and adding: Whereas, the residents of Southeast Alaska rely upon healthy fish stocks to continue C & T resource uses. MS. ROBINSON: I second it. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Where are you putting all of this? MS. PHILLIPS: Well, I would put the whereas I just 11read at the last whereas, before be it resolved. 13 MS. ROBINSON: Could you say it again? 14 MS. PHILLIPS: Whereas the residents of Southeast $1\!\!1$ 6Alaska rely upon healthy fish stocks to continue C & T resource 17uses. 18 19 MS. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman. 20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim. MS. ROBINSON: So, any place in this existing document 23where it says Alaska State Legislature, we'd be putting the 24Council's name? 26 27 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. 28 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Say that again? 29 MS. ROBINSON: Did you catch that, Patty? Any place in Ithis document that we have in our hand here that it says Alaska 32State Legislature, we would be putting the Council's name 33instead? MS. PHILLIPS: Right. 35 MS. ROBINSON: So like where it says on the back, "Be 37it resolved, 39 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. MS. ROBINSON: we would put our -- the Council's 41name, and do everything else it says there, copies being sent 42to the list of people there; do you have that in mind also? 43 44 MS. PHILLIPS: That would be acceptable. 45 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Do you have any background to the 47resolution? How did it get to Grussendorf? 49 MS. PHILLIPS: How did they get what? 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: How did they get to the legislature? MS. PHILLIPS: I really -- I don't have any background.

MS. ROBINSON: I do, a little bit.

MS. PHILLIPS: Good.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay.

MS. ROBINSON: Yes, last spring I testified at the 10Board of Fish meeting in Anchorage about this issue, about ${\sharp 1}{
m bi-catch}$, and that's what a lot of this trawling is dealing 12with, and also, of course, this is dealing with what it's doing 13to the bottom and just affecting our resources. A lot of this 14has come to light since last spring, the Board of Fish did 15quite a bit of work on that, and I know the legislature also, 16last year they did a resolution -- I think they might have 17another one in this year again on bi-catch. I don't think they 48 did anything on trawling last year. So, anyway, does that help 19any? 20 21 22

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I do know that the trawlers bi-catch 46includes salmon -- all species of salmon, halibut and other 27 fish species and they are a detriment to those fish 28populations. 2 9 °

> MR. DALTON: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Richard.

30 31

MR. DALTON: Yeah, I think the bypass word is just a \$4legal word to try to protect the trawlers. We're trying to \$5find a solution to Senator Stevens making his statement in the 36state of Alaska that they're trying to find a solution where \$7they could keep the fish that goes all up this trawl, and 8that's still not going to protect the saving -- the finfish or 39whatever they take out of the bottom, they're just cleaning and 40scraping the bottom.

So, years ago when Alaska Native Brotherhood went on 44record to abolish traps, they did it too late because we had to 45suffer at least 25 years before the fish could come back again, 6and then later in the season -- later in years they went on the $47\mathrm{record}$ to get the 200-mile limit whereby halibut start to come 48in, black cod and several other (indiscernible – away from 49microphone). Now the 200-mile is a little bit -- plays an

R & R COURT REPORTERS

influence on our bottom fisheries. But still right now they 267 trawling the bottom and killing the fish, and I think I'm in support of a ban myself. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: To make it a little cleaner, I think it might be easier for us to submit something like this under a resolution using the same language to where it doesn't look identical to that one, coming from the legislature. A personal observation. Mim. MS. ROBINSON: I don't think it would really matter too much that it's using most of the same language. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: The language is fine, the format. MS. ROBINSON: Right. Yeah, it would be -- I mean it 1/3 would be typed over to look like a resolution coming from this 14council. 15 16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right. 17 MS. ROBINSON: Yeah, it wouldn't have the numbers on 19the side and the -- all the other stuff there. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That will be known as MS. ROBINSON: Patty, did the motion say anything about 23being a proposal or did you say resolution? I don't remember. 25 MS. PHILLIPS: A resolution from this board -- council, 2695-01. 27 28 29 30 31 MS. ROBINSON: So, this would be 95-01? CHAIRMAN THOMAS: 95-01. Further discussion? MS. ROBINS
33
34 CHAIRMAN TO
35in favor, say aye. MS. ROBINSON: Question. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All those 37 38 39 IN UNISON: Aye. CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed? 40 (No opposing responses) 42 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Council members. 43 44 MS. ROBINSON: Good job, Patty. 45 46 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Mim. MS. ROBINSON: Yeah. I just wanted to comment about 49that letter issue. I talked with John Vale yesterday, I think

R & R COURT REPORTERS

it was. 268

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What letter issue?

MS. ROBINSON: The letter about Carol responding to our annual report.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Uh-huh.

18

19

28

29 30

40

44

MS. ROBINSON: And he'd been talking with someone, I don't remember who, staff or something, and the staff person had pointed out that we should count our blessings, that it's lojust another way of looking at the issue. At least it's ligetting responded to by someone in our area, someone that knows lowhat's going on and -- you know, just kind of looking at it losfrom that point of view. It's not going to someone that looesn't know what this place is about, and it is shown to those loshigher up, and they have to approve it or disapprove it or lowhatever, and it does bring these issues to their attention.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: What's your feeling about that?

MS. ROBINSON: Well, still kind of mixed. I still 21think that -- I still feel uncomfortable about the fact that 22our coordinator is commenting on what she probably wrote, and 23it just -- it really just -- the irony of it is more than I can 24stand, I think. So, but if anything, it seems like her boss 25should be writing it. If it's going to come down to this 26level, at least her boss should do it, not her.

And, Carol, who is your boss?

MS. JORGENSEN: Well, in the Forest Service my boss is 2Norm Howse, but it should, you know, -- and Norm, I think, 3agrees with me on this, it should definitely go on a higher 4level, and in Patty's comments yesterday, I couldn't agree more 5in the sense that it should have some teeth, and I couldn't put 6those teeth in it because I don't have the responsibility. I 7can't speak for the Secretary, but if I could and I thought 8that he'd sign off on it, I mean the Hoonah Tlingits would have 39Glacier Bay back.

1 MS. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman, that brought another point 2to mind that John had mentioned.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, before you get to that, I feel 6incumbent to share my opinion about this thing. If we're going 7to be part of a scheme and be considered a very small part of 8the scheme, I don't think we should absorb, assume or carry the 9entire scheme. And if we can't depend on consistency going all

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

way to the Office of the Secretary, something is wrong. And 269that's going to be wrong, this needs to be pointed out, and if that someone is going to be us, then it's going to be us. But we can't spend our time protecting the inefficiencies of somebody else. Those are my thoughts. Disagree?

MS. ROBINSON: The other thing that had been pointed out was that perhaps if there wasn't that much -- that many teeth parts in it because the report itself was -- wasn't really asking the Secretary to do things that much, it was mostly reporting on what we had been doing, I believe. so, that's something to consider.

11 What I would suggest that we do is have you, 12Mr. Chairman, write a letter for the council about this. What 13would you think of that?

18

19

20 21 22

37

15 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: By all means, the Chairman is going 16to write a letter.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. I make that motion then.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion

MS. PHILLIPS: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: has been made 5write a letter. Patty seconded. Discussion? CHAIRMAN THOMAS: has been made that the Chairman 26 27 28

MS. PHILLIPS: Question.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Question has been called. All those 30in favor, say aye.
31
32
IN UNISON:
33
34
CHAIRMAN TI
35
36
(No opposing

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Motion carried. You know, in a true 39ANB fashion, I want the strongest terms possible, and that's 40the only way my computer knows how to write. I've got an ANB 41computer.

MS. ROBINSON: Are we going to get copies of this 431etter?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: No way. Hey, this is a Federal act, 46you know, we're doing now. By all means, I'll have a letter to 47the editor so everyone sees it.

This is our third day here. We've been inviting public 49comment for three days, and we're always glad to hear from you.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MR. MILLS: My name is Thomas Mills, Mr. Chairman, and I'm a disabled Vietnam veteran, born and raised in Excursion Inlet, and this gentleman sitting between the three young ladies over to your left made some remarks about able to go into certain areas to get fish for your smoke houses and for my life -- my whole life I've lived in Excursion Inlet. And we couldn't go up to that creek to get any salmon because the Fish & Game -- Alaska State Fish & Game would tell us, no, the cannery people are depleting that river already, you guys have to go to Basket Bay. And it turns out that when we do want to logo to Basket Bay, it's closed for us, too, because the people lin Angoon and the loggers over at Silver Bay in those areas are ledepleting those areas as well.

13 14

But the thing is, over in Excursion Inlet is the 16cannery people that are imported, as far south as Stockton, 17California, are going up into the creek with their nets, and I 18know it's their nets because their nets are anchored down with 19pipe fittings, it's anchored down with electrical conduits and 20stuff like that you'd only find in a cannery. And I found all 21those things and I sent them in to the Fish & Game, and they 22just referred to me that they're understaffed so they can't do 23anything about it.

4 2 5

And the other thing is the cannery personnel that are 7getting all that fish are using cannery freezers and glazing 8things to put their fish away. Each employee working over in 9Excursion Inlet is allowed 200 pounds of frozen fish, and the 30company just charges them 10 cents a pound to ship them as far 31south as Stockton, California. And I know one guy that was 32working as the quality control man over there, he would gather 3up all the boxes for people that are not interested in fish, 34but he made boxes for them. He'll get sockeye out of the 35creeks, glaze 'em and ship 'em all out to Stockton, California, 36and there he will sell them to restaurants for \$5.00 or 37something like that a pound. But within the 15 years that I 38worked in Excursion he made enough money to buy a brand new 39Cessna 185 with all the trimmings and accessories on it and 40bring it up to Excursion Inlet.

41

But, not only are we -- I'm not allowed to get sockeye 3out of those creeks, we are not allowed to get silver salmon 4out of the creeks either. I mean every time you're going to 5have a party, you know, you're not just going to serve humpy, 6you're going to get the finest meals possible for your family 7and to serve at these pay-off parties, as they are known as. 8And the Fish & Game puts a limit on us and tells us, no, you 9can't get any silver salmon, that's against the law, you can't

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

And something -- going off the subject but related to deer hunting is the Forest Service over here in Hoonah arrested my kid brother a year or two back, and that's been in court for a while -- it was thrown out of court, he's been acquitted on it, but the thing was was that they listened to two other non-Natives who stated that they were out there hunting. without even checking their rifles and stuff they went out there and cited 'em, and they had to go to court and they spent 10time and money going back from here to Juneau and going to #1Federal court. And the judge finally just threw it out. But 12the thing was the Forest Service has taken some other people's $1 \mid 3$ word as gospel, and went out there and tried to hang these two ${
m 1\hspace{-0.9mm}1}{
m 4}{
m Natives}$, and the people that were representing the Forest \$\$ Service were standing there, one of 'em with his hands on his $1 6 \mathrm{hip}$, ready to draw his pistol. And that was scaring the devil 17out of the two kids that went back to the truck. And they 18should have better and safer procedures than that.

19 20

And the other thing that has been happening as far as 2the impact statements of the Forest Service, which will say 3there's no (sic) impact statement in this particular area, and 4Sealaska will say the same thing, because they're two 25individual impact statements. But if you put those two 26individual impact statements together and you start talking to 27the people that you utilize the land here, you will find out 28that there's a lot of wrong here, there's something here, 29you're killing off the Hoonah Tlingits, the people that are 30living here, living off the land and doing something about it. 31 Our hands are tied, we can't do anything about that except go 32out there and do it. I'm sure we're going to get arrested, but 33we're still going to go out there and live.

34 35

It's just not too long ago, within the last week, I 7took my son out to our homestead out there by Gustavus and he's 8one of the new Tlingits, he don't know anything. But he saw 9his first wolf, and he just had this big smile on his face 0where he actually saw a grown wolf in the wild. He saw his 1first sea otter, he saw his first seal close to the beach. He 2understands what porcupine do, where they live. I explained 3all that to him. And he says, Dad, they don't have this 4anymore. We're lucky to see all this stuff. And I agreed with 5him.

46 47 48

49

50

And the other remark I wanted to make is about this

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

trawling thing. A while back I went out black codding with 272 kid brother on the Mary Joanne, and we were lining out there -out there in the west end of Chichagof Island. And this American Number 1, a factory trawler, was dragging for sole off the coast. And at night he would turn his lights down to where you could barely see him, and he would come right in to this line area and start trawling for black cod because they had so much -- so many metric tons of incidental catch that they can keep aboard, and the way they were fishing for their sole, there was no black cod out there. So, he would come into the black cod grounds and go through all the people's hook and lines and do it. And when they start calling on the radios and 10stuff the hauling captain on that ship would say, what I'm 11doing is illegal -- "What I'm doing is legal," he said he's not 12breaking the law, he said, "I'm not breaking the law; what I'm 13doing is within the law." Because some of the fishermen were 14so mad for losing all their skates and stuff they were willing 15to start a big row (ph), up there.

And I worked -- I now work with Southeast Stevedoring, 17 and I work with one of the buyers that are representing buyers 18 in Japan on the logs. And the reason I'm bringing this up is 19 he was, at the time, stationed on that ship, the American 20 Number 1, for the Orients again, and he confirmed all this 21 stuff to me. And that's all I have to say, and I thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you. You cited a lot of 23management -- not management but enforcement situations. Did 24you take time to submit reports of these violations to anybody?

MR. MILLS: Most of them I -- reports and stuff I 7talked to Alaska Department of Fish & Game about it, and they 8just would tell me that they're understaffed.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: But you didn't send in a written 31violation?

MR. MILLS: No, I did not send in any written anything decause mainly I was busy at the time trying to find a way to sput up my own food.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Okay. Mim.

MS. ROBINSON: Yes, Mr. Mills. I just maybe think that Othis House Joint Resolution that Patty showed to us here and Iwe've adopted, Number 25, it's been referred to the House Special Committee on Fisheries and also the House Resources 3Committee, and were you planning on testifying at any hearing 4that they may be having on this resolution?

6 MR. MILLS: Well, I have no idea when all these 7hearings are about. I didn't even know when they had any --8were having these subsistence meetings here in Hoonah, when 9they began or anything.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. This would -- you would want to contact the Legislative Information Office, probably -- I don't know who Hoonah deals with, but legislative -- there isn't one here in Hoonah, is there?

MS. DALTON: Yes.

23

30

42

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, there is one here? Okay. Well, you would contact the local Legislative Information Office and ask them to track this bill for you, and they -- ask them to notify loyou when there's going to be hearings on it, and then you would lijust send in some written testimony, like what you just told lous, and it would be excellent for when they look at this bill light really helpful with that kind of thing. And they would help loyou with that. And maybe there will even be some leteleconference hearings on it, I don't know. But they could logical reals you that.

19 MR. MILLS: Okay. I've gotten more information here 20than I've got in years with you guys.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you very much. Jumbo.

MR. JAMES: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Federal 25Subsistence Board members. I couldn't find a secretary to 26write for me a proposal on such short notice. I found by 27special action I can submit this, and it's not my desire to go 28over this board because I can see that that won't work.

My first proposal that I am going to write is that some 32effort be made for the State of Alaska. I know that the 33government wishes -- threatened to take over management on 34Federal lands for fish and game, but every time the State makes 55a regulation they violate their own constitution. Article XII, 36Section 12, of the State of Alaska's Constitution, this 37includes the Forest Service, these are all of the state rights. 38 I don't have to go through it, I'm sure you're all aware of 39it, the rights of food gathering and fishing, hunting will not 40be abridged or restricted to the Native people. That's Federal 41law.

When Alaska became a state they signed a compact with 4the Federal government and had that stipulation put in to the 5State of Alaska Constitution, Article I.

Also I'm going to write another proposal on Glacier Bay 9because of the Greg Brown case. This young man was arrested by 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

Federal authorities, tried by the Federal prosecutor in fron£74 of a Federal judge in the Federal courtroom, and I appeared as one of the witnesses. There was quite a few witnesses on Greg Brown's behalf. And no decision could be reached. They could not find him guilty. He had admitted that this was a customary and traditional food and he readily admitted what he was doing it for, what we believe has religious connotations to us, our right to food gathering in Glacier Bay and this is our home. Instead of this type of court having the decency to publicly and openly state his guilt or innocence, they mailed him an acquittal.

He was here and spoke the other day but I don't know, the seemed to be not too well accepted. I seen people letting 2their personal feelings get in the way and judgment. But I 3will submit this proposal that -- I'm speaking for myself -- I 4do not presume to speak on behalf of the Hoonah people, I speak 15as a true Tlingit (ph) elder.

This proposal that I wish to submit is to allow limited 19hunting of marine mammals as per the endangered species act for 20the Natives and so forth. I personally don't believe in the 21taking of game on land like goats, bear, deer, anything. But I 22do personally believe that it is my right -- my traditional 3right, God-given right to go into my home, and I still consider 24Glacier Bay my home because years of my life were -- I was 25raised there, sometimes there and some away from it. And 26because my justification is that the Federal courts could not 27convict this young man, he stated it was too complex, well, 28that's -- I don't see what is complex about it. I can't 29understand that.

The other proposal I mentioned, the right to do food 2gathering and hunting on all of our traditional lands should 3not be a bridge restricted. I further believe in conservation. 4 I want to see if all can be denied. The State has gone so far 5as they now want to take the gathering of seaweed for food a 6limited entry. My God, what is next! I think there's some 7crazy people in there that they would think of such a thing. 8It seems to me that everything the State has ever said or done 39is detrimental to the Alaska Native people from where they come of 10 from.

Concerning that as a remark -- concerning that bottom 6fish issue, rockfish and so forth, when I was in Anchorage a 7couple years ago I had the privilege of hearing a young man 8speak. I'm sure some of you know him, Mr. Merculief. He spoke 9of the Saint Paul fur seal, for many years that's been closed

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

42 43

to Bristol Bay and the Aleutians. This speech that he made £05 the American Indian Rights and Fisheries, which I went to, and I and some others, was a very moving speech, very much to the point. This herd of fur seals in the Pribilof Islands have been closed for several years because of the biomass that's been taken, the extreme biomass -- those seal populations are on the decline, they are not building up. Further restrictions should be put on the wide open raping of the resources. In some areas, from what I hear, there is complete destruction of those resources. The fur seals are not increasing now, they are on the decline. (Indiscernible - away from microphone) I was very moved by his speech and his logic and terrific speech. Use gave us figures and everything of what this concept was, livery refreshing to be, better than any politician I've ever 12 seen or heard. Thank you.

13 14 15

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Thank you, Jumbo. Getting back to 17the reception of Greg Brown's presentation. You know, and 18everybody is pretty familiar with the case, and if we have no $\mathop{\sharp} 9$ authority to do anything about it, there's not much to say. 40It's like when your friend dies; what do you say? You know? \$1And the emotions are almost parallel. I think I speak for 22everybody on this council when I say that we endorse and 33support whatever Greg represented and whatever he did. We 44agree that there was an injustice done, but what do we do? 45Shoot everybody in the Federal government or what? You know? 2680, one of the things we've got to consider -- another thing --7there's a lot of things to consider. If I was involved in the 28case I probably would have asked if there were any seals closer 19to Hoonah. But I know that's not the point. But just for the 30record, I do want to say that we in no way intended to be \$1insensitive to listening to the expression from Greg Brown. \$2approached this council in Sitka with the same presentation, \$3so, you know, I'll probably hear it again, and my reaction 4probably won't be more exciting. So, that you know.

34p 35

But I appreciate everybody here taking the time to come 7and express those concerns. We're limited on what we can do. 80ur ambitions are greater than our ability, but we keep trying.

39 40

MS. WILSON: Mr. Chair.

4243

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Marilyn.

4

MS. WILSON: If I could just impart a little moral -- I 6guess it would be called a story. But up north in the Yukon 7they had the same problem with their park. They couldn't do 8anything in there, and that was the land they always hunted and 9did their fishing in, and I guess the whole village went out

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

and -- I think this was for fishing, and they all fished. S076 they -- I guess they all had to be thrown in jail, but they got their point across, and they went to court with the Federal government and so forth, and so that's what they did. I just wanted to bring that out of what the Yukon people did, the Yukon Indians.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, I've got a personal story for 10you, but it won't come out so flashy. I was a member of ${
m 1\hspace{-0.9mm}1}$ Southeast Native Subsistence Commission, and our president 12said, "We ought to have somebody do a -- we'll do a trial 13case." I didn't intend to be a trial case, but I got busted ¼4for using a gillnet the wrong way in Nielsen Bay. I never \sharp 5gillnetted before. I bought a net to do subsistence fishing. $1 \,$ 6Everybody was tied off, so I tied off. Everybody left but me. 17 I figured they all got their fish, I didn't get any. A guy 18came and gave me a ticket for setnetting. I said, "Oh, what 19are you supposed to do with these?" "It's supposed to drift." 20 I said, "It's drifting." "But it's tied off." I said, "Yeah, #lit's both; it's tied off and if it wasn't drifting I wouldn't 22be able to find it." Well, my logic and his logic weren't the 33same. So, I hired a lawyer. I thought I had a trial case, 4right? Southeast Native Subsistence Commission going to battle 45for Old Bill. So, I got my lawyer and he didn't know either. 46He didn't know what the difference between setnetting and 17driftnetting were. So, I went down and got a reg book and he 28and I went through it together, and we found it. Well, the 19judge came down. The judge didn't know either. So, he came 30down. And the difference between a setnet and a driftnet is 11that a driftnet is a gillnet that is not intentionally fastened \$2on either end. A setnet is a net -- driftnet that is attached 33and fastened on either end.

In case any of you are going to break the law, you've degot no reason to do it now. It cost me a hundred bucks. Did 7anyone come to my side? No. I told one, yes. Everybody was 8looking at the color of the floor tiles when I was telling 9about it, so I didn't get any publication, no notoriety or 0anything. It cost me a hundred bucks.

Any more sad tales? Does that complete our agenda?

MS. ROBINSON: It seems to me that there was something 6that was going to be delayed till now about the C & T process. 7 That's what I have written down, but I don't remember what we 8were wondering about, about the chairmen getting together?

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

44

50

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right.

MS. ROBINSON: Coming up here soon?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Right.

MS. ROBINSON: You were going to tell us what you were going to be doing or something or clarifying what's going to happen?

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, oh, okay, yeah, let me do the $\sharp 0$ best I can. C & Ts, they're looking at Kenai, to start with. 12felt like we should have input from all of the regions on the 13Kenai C & T. Since it's the first one we felt that some place $1\!\!14$ in there there would be implication that would be statewide. 450kay, so we are involved in that. Well, on a couple of 16occasions they came up with language that just wouldn't work at 17all. It didn't make sense, nothing ever fit. And this 18happened when we're not there, it happened when everyone goes 19back home and there are people that live in Anchorage and can 20do this. And then they'll send us a message saying that 11they're meeting on this date and we're all panicking, we need \$2to go through it, we need more time, what's the rush. Okay, so 23we're into our third component of that, and so my sense of our 14getting together now is for us to get up there and deliberate 15between the staff coordinators and chairs and try to come to \$26some agreement on what C & Ts should look like. Maybe Carol 27can offer more to that.

29

MS. JORGENSEN: Well, if you remember correctly, about la year ago you got the Kenai C & T booklet, and it went through 2 and it had Alternative A, B and C, and the council at that time 3 areviewed it and said, well, in looking at all three 3 4 alternatives, of the three, if they have to choose, Alternative 3 5 A would probably be the one they'd go with because it was least 3 6 are trictive. But then they came up with a more narrow version, 3 7 and the regional council chairs and everybody else across the 3 8 state got very concerned because their Alternative A was not 3 9 looked at and not adopted. So, then that put it back in to 4 0 we're back to the drawing board. And then another alternative 4 1 came and it looked very restrictive. It's been going back and 4 2 forth, back and forth.

43

The solicitor -- the Interior Solicitor Keith Goltz 5came in, and from his perspective he looks at C & T and says 6that subsistence is not supposed to be restricted, it's 7supposed to be applied in the broadest sense. And so that's 8what's being -- that's what they're reviewing right now, and 9their chairmans are going to come up.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

Bill and I have to fly up Sunday night. We'll meet 278 with staff and we'll go over this again, but with that in mind, all 10 chairmans are involved in this and Staff Committee, and then the Staff Committee from whatever comes out of there, they're supposed to make a recommendation back to the Federal Subsistence Board. The Federal Subsistence Board was not happy with the last go-round and said, "Staff, go back and redo this and come back with recommendations." So, that's what they'll be going up there on. On Monday they'll be looking at things and making some decisions.

But do bear in mind that the regional councils also ${1\hspace{-0.1em}\downarrow} 0$ agree with the Solicitor that subsistence should be looked at #lin the broadest sense not the restrictive sense. Subsistence $1\!\!1\!\!1$ 2should not be restricted. So, I think that there will be some 14to resolve some issues.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Kapish? Everybody kapish? Didn't 1/7know I was multi-linguistic, huh? Does that complete our 18agenda, ladies and gentlemen?

20 MR. ANDERSON: Mr. Chairman, are you suggesting that we #1meet around the table to add or subtract on this problem? CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Well, that's a good question. What #3is the wish of the council? Would you like to do that, sit 4around the table, look over that language and set me up with \$25 some input or -- it's got to be a gross message there, lack of \$26no confidence in the Chair, but that's all right.

28 MS. ROBINSON: Look at which language, the Kenai thing 29or what? 0123456789

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Oh, no, just

MS. ROBINSON: What are we talking about here? MR. ANDERSON: The process of C & T determination.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: Yeah.

MS. ROBINSON: Oh, that thing.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: That could be very helpful. Let's 41take two minutes and think about it.

(Off record)

19

43

45 46

(END OF PROCEEDING) * * * * *

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982

CERTIFICATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)

STATE OF ALASKA)

I, Rebecca Nelms, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, residing at Anchorage, Alaska, and Reporter for R & R Court Reporters, Inc., do hereby certify:

THAT the annexed and foregoing is a Transcript of the 4 15Southeast Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council 16 17Meeting, Volume 3, taken on the 10th day of February 1995, 18 19commencing at the hour of 9:30 o'clock a.m., at the Alaska 20 21Native Brotherhood Hall, Hoonah, Alaska;

THAT this Transcript, as heretofore annexed, is a true
THAT this Transcript, as heretofore annexed, is a true
to the proceedings, recorded by
The proceedings and the proceedings are corded by
The proceedings and the proceedings are corded by
The proceedings and the proceedings are corded by

gLaurel L. Evenson;

30

4344567890 50

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and agree and agree and agree and agree are also as a set of the set of t

32
33affixed my seal this 27th day of February 1995.
34
35
36
37

Notary in and for Alaska
My commission expires: 10/10/98
39
40

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982